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The coordination of a bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine ligand (L) to copper centres was studied. The use of copper(I) bromide precursors gave access to [LCuBr] (2) in which only one iminophosphorane arm is coordinated to the metal, as observed by X-ray crystallography and MAS 31P NMR. Its fluxional behaviour in solution was demonstrated by VT-31P NMR, and investigated by DFT calculations. On the other hand, coordination of L to [Cu(CH3CN)4]PF6 gave a dimer [L2Cu2][PF6]2 (3) in which the two copper centres do not have the same coordination sphere as shown by X-ray crystallography. Addition of a strong ligand such as PEt3 allows the preparation of a cationic monomeric copper complex (4) in which L has a behaviour similar to that observed for 2. Synthesis of copper(II) complexes was also achieved by chemical oxidation of 2, which shows an irreversible oxidation at −0.36 vs. Fc+/Fc, or directly via the coordination of L to CuBr2. In [LCuBr2] (5), L adopts a pincer coordination. Finally, the catalytic behaviour of copper(I) complexes 2 and 3 was investigated in cyclopropanation reactions and [3 + 2] cycloadditions.

Introduction

Over the past 3 decades, pincer ligands1,2 have gained an important role in coordination chemistry with applications as sensors,1,3 switches,4 and catalysts.5 This is related to their modularity allowing fine tuning of both their steric and electronic properties.6 Various neutral pincer ligands incorporating three nitrogen donor functions with a central pyridine have been reported, among which are the well-known terpyridine7 and bis(iminopyridine)8 derivatives. Examples of such pincers incorporating iminophosphoranes have also been described (Fig. 1). Bochmann and coworkers used bis(iminophosphoranyl)pyridine (A, Fig. 1) complexes for ethylene polymerisation.9 More recently, Wang studied the coordination chemistry of ligand B (Fig. 1) and the catalytic ability of some of its complexes for e-caprolactone polymerisation.10 Stephan and coworkers have explored the coordination of ligand C (Fig. 1) to palladium,11 and have shown that the coordination by the nitrogen of the iminophosphorane functions allows a shielding of the metal by the exocyclic phosphorus substituents. We were therefore interested in studying the coordination behaviour of ligand L, which combines the protective ability of the peripheral triphenylphosphine groups, the electron donating capacity of iminophosphoranes, which act as strong σ and π donors with poor accepting properties, and a central pyridine. We thought that this core would balance the donation from iminophosphoranes thanks to its accepting capacity.

Fig. 1 Iminophosphorane-pyridine or -phenyl ligands.
ligands. Actually, due to their electronic properties these ligands are more suitable to stabilise electron-deficient metals.

The synthesis and characterisation of bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine copper(1) and copper(11) complexes are reported. The hemilability of L on CuI centres was studied using different techniques among which are solution and solid state NMR studies, X-ray analysis, and DFT calculations. Some of the synthesised complexes were also used as catalysts for two reactions: the alkene cyclopropanation and the [3 + 2] cycloaddition of an organic azide on alkynes.

Results and discussion

The pincer ligand L was easily prepared by a bromination/azidation sequence starting from 2,6-pyridinedimethanol yielding the bis-azide 1, which was then reacted with triphenylphosphine (Scheme 1). The ligand was isolated by precipitation in Et2O in 78% overall yield, as no chromatographic purification is required, the synthesis is easily scalable to multigrams (up to 10 g). For the key step (formation of the N=P bond), we chose to rely on the Staudinger reaction rather than the Kirsanov reaction because of the availability of the starting diol and the stability of L. Bis(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine copper(I) and copper(II) complexes are obtained by the slow diffusion of benzene into a concentrated THF solution, evidenced a non-symmetric structure (Fig. 2).

The copper center is only coordinated by the pyridine ring and one of the iminophosphorane groups, with the second one totally turned back without any interaction with the copper or other molecules in the packing. Therefore, the complex exhibits a trigonal geometry around the copper with a constrained metalloccle (N1–Cu1–N3: 82.7°(1)).

1H NMR for the benzylic protons indicating a symmetric species in solution.

However X-ray analysis performed on single crystals of 2, obtained by the slow diffusion of benzene into a concentrated THF solution, evidenced a non-symmetric structure (Fig. 2).

In order to establish whether this unsymmetrical structure is due to the crystallisation process or to insidious packing inside the crystal, we recorded a solid-state MAS 31P NMR spectrum of a precipitated powder of 2 (Fig. 3, right), which shows two independent peaks at 8.0 ppm and 22.7 ppm. These are in agreement with the solid-state structure observed by X-ray diffraction; the non-coordinated iminophosphorane appears at 8.0 ppm (δP = 5.9 ppm in THF for L) and the coordinated one resonates at 22.7 ppm (vide infra). To gain further insight into the behaviour of 2 in solution, we performed variable temperature 31P{1H} NMR experiments in THF. The characteristic singlet of 2 starts to broaden around −70 °C and splits into two broad singlets at 24.4 and 9.5 ppm at −95 °C (Fig. 3, left).

Scheme 1  Synthesis of the ligand L.

![Scheme 1](image1)

Scheme 2  Synthesis of complex 2.

Fig. 2  ORTEP of the solid-state structure of 2 with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogens and one benzene solvent molecule were omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles (°): N1–Cu1 2.002(5), N3–Cu1 2.050(5), P1–N1 1.588(3), P2–N2 1.565(3), Cu1–Br1 2.254(6), N1–Cu1–N3 82.7(1), N1–Cu1–Br1 141.17(8), N3–Cu1–Br1 135.37(8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Length</th>
<th>Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1–Cu1</td>
<td>2.002(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3–Cu1</td>
<td>2.050(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1–N1</td>
<td>1.588(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2–N2</td>
<td>1.565(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu1–Br1</td>
<td>2.254(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–Cu1–N3</td>
<td>82.7(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–Cu1–Br1</td>
<td>141.17(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3–Cu1–Br1</td>
<td>135.37(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The exchange is too rapid to be completely blocked at this temperature; nevertheless, the coalescence was estimated around $-90 \pm 2$ °C. An Eyring plot (see ESI†) allows the evaluation of the activation barrier of the process at $7.3(1)$ kcal mol$^{-1}$.

This exchange process was also investigated by DFT calculations, both associative and dissociative mechanisms were computed (see Fig. 4). Starting from I (the optimised structure of 2), the dissociative pathway proceeds by the decoordination of the iminophosphorane leading to the linear intermediate II.
located at 9.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ above I. This value is slightly over the experimental one, especially if a solvation continuum is used (11.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$, standard PCM in THF). The second pathway proceeds through a concerted associative mechanism, a first minimum (III), where the second iminophosphorane remains non-coordinated but has flipped on the copper side, was located by performing a relaxed scan on the nitrogen–copper distance. Despite the flatness of the energetic profile near this point, a transition state labelled TS IV (Fig. 4) was located at 7.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$ above I. The latter value, in good agreement with the experimental one, validates the hypothesis of a concerted associative pathway.

This behaviour markedly differs from the hemilability of the pyridine moiety on copper(i) observed by van der Vlugt et al. using phosphine analogues of L (i.e. R$^3$PCH$_2$(C$_5$H$_3$N)$_2$CH$_2$PR$_3$, R = Ph, Et, Bu). Moreover, upon halide abstraction they reported the isolation of a cationic T-shaped Cu(I) complex. When abstracting the bromine from Cu$_2$BF$_4$, a yellow solid poorly soluble in THF is obtained. Its $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectrum evidences a sharp singlet at 28.8 ppm, which is in the range of polarisable continuum model (PCM).

When abstracting the bromine from 2, a yellow solid poorly soluble in THF is obtained. Its $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectrum evidences a sharp singlet at 28.8 ppm, which is in the range of the copper coordinated iminophosphorane, and a heptuplet at $\pm$144.1 ppm for the PF$_6$ counter-anion. The same complex 3 was synthesised by reacting L with a stoichiometric amount of [Cu(CH$_3$CN)$_2$]PF$_6$ in THF (Scheme 3).

The X-ray analysis of 3 (crystals were obtained by gas diffusion of pentane into an acetonitrile solution) did not show the expected T-shaped complex but the dimeric species as depicted in Fig. 5.

The coordination spheres of the two copper atoms are quite different; Cu1 is coordinated by two iminophosphorane groups in a nearly linear mode (N2–Cu1–N4: 173.7(1)$^\circ$), whereas Cu2 exhibits a distorted tetrahedral geometry, with the coordination of the two pyridine rings and two iminophosphoranes. Such divergent geometries for two copper complexes were also observed by Piguet et al. Interestingly the N$_{N_{2}}$–P–Cu bond lengths are rather different with the N–Cu1 bonds (N1–Cu1: 1.881(2) Å, N4–Cu1: 1.864(2) Å) being shorter than the N–Cu2 (N2–Cu2: 2.136(2) Å, N5–Cu2: 2.131(2) Å). Moreover a small d$^{10}$–d$^{10}$ interaction between the two copper atoms may exist (Cu1–Cu2: 2.8863(3) Å), based on DFT calculations (see ESIF).

In order to determine whether 3 remains dimeric in solution, $^1$H DOSY experiments were performed on 2 and 3. Their hydrodynamic radii were evaluated at 6.6 Å and 7.6 Å respectively. Approximating these complexes as a sphere gave volume values comparable to those obtained by X-ray crystallography. This seems to indicate that the dimeric structure of 3 is maintained in solution; nevertheless, DFT calculations were conducted in order to evaluate the stability of this structure in the presence of the coordinating ligand. Enthalpy and Gibbs energy of the coordination reaction are reported in Fig. 6. As all the structures involved are cationic and the solvents used are polar (THF, acetonitrile), solvation effects were modelled with the polarisable continuum model (PCM).

These calculations show that the existence of the T-shaped complex (VIII) is unlikely, since the coordination of an acetonitrile ligand giving VII is favoured. Importantly, formation of the tetracoordinated (or tricoordinated, not depicted) cationic monomer with acetonitrile (VII) or an electron rich benzonitrile such as 1,3,5-trimethoxy-benzenonitrile$^{13}$ (VI) is disfavoured compared to the dimer V by 18 and 10 kcal mol$^{-1}$ respectively. This is in agreement with the results obtained from the $^1$H DOSY NMR experiment. Experimentally, when mixing 3 and 1,3,5-trimethoxy-benzenonitrile in acetonitrile no significant change was observed in $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR. Moreover, X-ray analysis of single crystals obtained by gas diffusion of pentane evidenced the presence of 3.

From these thermodynamic calculations, triethylphosphine should be able to react with V to give IX located 4 kcal mol$^{-1}$ below the dimer V. Indeed when a suspension of 3 in THF is treated with an excess of PEt$_3$, the mixture turned rapidly clear to yield complex 4, which was characterised by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and X-ray analysis. Therefore, the formation of a monomeric complex requires a strong ancillary ligand (Br, PEt$_3$) (Scheme 4).

It is noteworthy that we used an excess of PEt$_3$ for practical reasons but never observed the decoordination of L. In the
$^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectrum both iminophosphorane moieties are equivalent appearing as a singlet at 21.6 ppm and the coordinated phosphine gave a singlet at −3.6 ppm. Therefore, room temperature $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^{31}$P NMR data seem to indicate a symmetric species in solution; however, no $^3$J$_{P,P}$ is observed on the $^{31}$P($^1$H) spectrum suggesting a dynamic behaviour as observed for 2. VT-$^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectroscopy (see ESI†) allows the estimation of the activation barrier at 8.8(1) kcal mol$^{-1}$. Therefore, the hemilabile behaviour of L is maintained on a cationic copper(I) fragment. It is noteworthy that, X-ray analysis of single crystals formed by slow diffusion of pentane into its solution in THF gave a structure analogous to 2 (Fig. 7). The copper presents a trigonal geometry, the metal–nitrogen bond lengths and angles are comparable to those measured in 2.

As the pincer coordination of bis(methyliminophosphonanyl)pyridine should be favoured on a copper(II) centre, we synthesised [LCu$^{	ext{II}}$] complexes either by a chemical oxidation of 2 or a direct reaction of L with CuBr$_2$ (vide infra).
The cyclic voltammogram of 2 exhibits one irreversible oxidation wave at −0.36 V and one irreversible reduction wave at −0.93 V vs. \( E^{\text{1/2}}_{\text{Fc}^-/\text{Fc}} \) (see ESI†). We attributed the observed irreversibility to the rapid modification of the coordination mode of the ligand when changing the oxidation state of the copper atom. After oxidation, the second iminophosphorane coordinates with the metal and this pincer complex is then reduced inducing the rapid decoordination of one iminophosphorane group from the copper(I) centre. As anticipated the oxidation is slightly easier but the reduction is more difficult compared to that of [2-pyridyldimethyl]iminine copper(I) complexes.24 In summary, both oxidation and reduction are irreversible but the sequence redox change/reorganisation can be repeated without loss of intensity.

**Synthesis of copper(II) complexes**

Prior to performing a chemical oxidation of 2, we coordinated L to CuBr₂ (see Scheme 5). The reaction was conducted in THF, giving immediately a green solution. The green solid obtained after workup exhibits a singlet at 42.7 ppm in CD₂Cl₂ solution, the structure is presented in Fig. 8. The copper is pentacoordinated and exhibits a nearly planar-square geometry (RMS deviation of 0.183 Å out of planarity) with a second tetracoordinated and exhibits a nearly planar-square geometry of the ligand when changing the oxidation state of the copper atom. After oxidation, the second iminophosphorane coordinates with the metal and this pincer complex is then reduced inducing the rapid decoordination of one iminophosphorane group from the copper(I) centre. As anticipated the oxidation is slightly easier but the reduction is more difficult compared to that of [2-pyridyldimethyl]iminine copper(I) complexes. In summary, both oxidation and reduction are irreversible but the sequence redox change/reorganisation can be repeated without loss of intensity.

![ORTEP plot (50% of probability) of 5, hydrogens have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles (°): N1–Cu1 2.041(3), N2–Cu1 2.071(3), N3–Cu1 1.955(3), P1–N1 1.597(3), P2–N2 1.608(3), Cu1–Br1 2.3599(6), Cu1–Br2 2.9871(6), N1–Cu1–N2 151.0(1), N3–Cu1–N1 79.6(1), N2–Cu1–N2 80.1(1), N3–Cu1–Br1 178.3(1), N3–Cu1–Br2 81.0(1).](image)

Oxidation of copper(II) complex 2 was then realised with various oxidants (NOBF₄, FePF₆, [Ce(NO₃)₆]₂[NH₄]₂ (CAN), Scheme 5). In all cases, a rapid change to a green solution was observed, the product formed is characterised by a sharp singlet around 40 ppm by \(^{31}\text{P}\{1\text{H}\} \) NMR spectroscopy. From such a crude mixture obtained with CAN as the oxidant, single crystals were obtained. Their X-ray analysis evidenced a bismetallic \([\text{L} \text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})][\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}(\text{NO}_3)_6]\) complex 6 (see ESI†). The copper(II) complexes formed by oxidation were identified by the addition of KBr to give the independently synthesised complex 5 as ascertained by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography.

Thus, as expected no hemilability occurs on the more electron-deficient copper(II) centre, in agreement with a stronger interaction. Expecting that the versatile coordination of L may generate under-coordinated copper(I) species, complexes 2 and 3 were tested as catalysts in two well-known copper catalysed reactions (i.e. cyclopropanations and [3 + 2] cycloadditions).

**Catalytic tests**

As a test reaction we have examined the cyclopropanation of styrene with EDA (ethyldiazoacetate) in the presence of 1% catalyst (Table 1). For comparable reactions, Reetz and co-workers have reported good conversion with a promising enantiomeric excess using a chiral iminophosphorane catalyst, suggesting that the donor iminophosphorane ligand remains bound to the metal during the catalysis.27 This prompted us to evaluate complexes 2 and 3 in such reactions with the idea that they should be able to stabilise the copper carbene complex proposed as an intermediate in these cyclopropanation reactions.28 First catalytic reactions with non-optimised conditions showed that complex 2 mainly catalyses the dimerisation of the diazo compound, while complex 3 is more efficient for cyclopropanation. However, in the presence of silver triflate, complex 2 becomes more selective. With a slower addition of EDA, we were able to obtain 85% of cyclopropane with 3 and above 90% with 2 after removal of the bromide.

Even if most cyclopropanation methodologies reported focused on the stereoselectivity of this process it is interesting to note that lower chemical yield of cyclopropane was obtained with copper complexes featuring diamine ligands.29 In contrast to our expectation that the hemilability of the iminophosphorane arm could disfavour the dimerisation of the diazo compound, the addition of a poorly coordinating anion remains essential to control this side reaction. Therefore we decided not to further explore this process and turned our attention to [3 + 2] cycloadditions, another emblematic catalytic reaction with copper(i) catalysts.30

We first examined the cycloaddition of phenylacetylene and 4-methoxybenzylazide (Table 2). With 1% of both catalysts and without solvent, the reaction was very rapid and exothermic inducing a brutal solidification of the medium (Table 1, entry 1). Decreasing the amount of catalyst to 0.1% still allows a rapid reaction with a slower solidification of the medium. Full conversion is observed in this case within few minutes, the triazole was isolated in excellent yield (entries 2 and 3).
Both catalysts allow also a rapid reaction in dichloromethane (entries 4 and 5). In toluene, 2 proved more efficient than 3 which is probably explained by its better solubility (entries 5 and 6). As both catalysts display comparable activity, further experiments were conducted with 2. When carrying out this reaction in a biphasic toluene-water solvent mixture in air, we were pleased to observe that the catalyst remains active. The yield is lower compared to the anhydrous conditions, probably due to partial decomposition. Moreover, when reacting sterically hindered tert-butylacetylene with 4-methoxybenzylazide in the presence of 0.5% of 2, the triazole was isolated in 98% yield after 2 h. These results are comparable to those described with bulky NHC–Cu(I) complexes; however, 3-hexyne does not react in the presence of 2. Therefore the cycloaddition proceeds efficiently at a low catalyst loading even with a less reactive alkyne, these performances outstrip those of [CuBr(PPh3)3] or of other iminophosphorane systems.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we evidenced the versatile coordination of bis-(methyliminophosphoranyl)pyridine ligand L to copper centres depending on both the oxidation state of the Cu and the reaction conditions. Generally only one iminophosphorane is bound to the metal in copper(i) complexes, and these monomeric complexes (2 and 4) exhibit a fluxional behaviour in solution, which was studied by variable temperature NMR and/or DFT calculations. When no strong coordinating ligand is available a dimeric complex 3 is formed whose structure is preserved in solution. The oxidation of [LCuBr] is irreversible and induces a change in the coordination mode of L. Indeed in copper(II) complex 5, which has been synthesised by chemical oxidation of 2 or from CuBr2, L acts as a pincer. Therefore the hemilability of the iminophosphorane moieties can be redox driven. In order to evaluate their catalytic ability copper(i) complexes 2 and 3 were employed for cyclopropanation and [3 + 2] cycloaddition of organic azides on alkynes. Current efforts focus on exploring this switchable redox-induced hemilability with other late transition metals.

Table 1 Cyclopropanation reactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Additivea</th>
<th>Yield of A (%)</th>
<th>Yield of B (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AgOTf</td>
<td>93 (84)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AgNTf₂</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a 1 mol%. GC yield (isolated yield) with respect to EDA.

Table 2 [3 + 2] cycloaddition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Yielda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 or 3</td>
<td>1 mol%, N₂, neat</td>
<td>&lt;1 min</td>
<td>n.d.⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 mol%, N₂, neat</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 mol%, N₂, neat</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 mol%, N₂, dichloromethane</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 mol%, N₂, dichloromethane</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 mol%, N₂, toluene</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1 mol%, N₂, toluene</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1 mol%, air, toluene/water</td>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Isolated yield. b Not determined due to the very rapid solidification of the mixture.
Experimental part

Synthesis

All reactions were conducted under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen or argon, using standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques. Solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial sources. Tetrahydrofuran, diethyl ether, toluene and petroleum ether were dried with an MBrAun MB-SPS 800 solvent purification system. Pentane and acetonitrile were distilled from CaH₂ under dry nitrogen. [CuBr(PPh₃)₃]²⁺ and [CuBr(SEt₂)]⁻ were prepared following the literature procedure.

Measurements

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Av300 spectrometer operating at 300 MHz for ¹H, 75.5 MHz for ¹³C, and 121.5 MHz for ³¹P. Solvent peaks were used as internal references for ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts (ppm). ³¹P peaks were referenced to an external 85% H₃PO₄. The following abbreviations are used: br, broad; s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet; hept, heptuplet. Labelling of atoms is indicated in Scheme 1. It should be mentioned that solution ³¹P chemical shift of the compound(s) herein can be remarkably affected by the nature of the solvent and, to a lesser extent, by dilution.⁶⁶

The ¹H PGSE (DOSY) experiments were performed on the same spectrometer. The experiment was measured using the ledbpgps2 pulse program (Bruker) at a temperature of 298 K. A relaxation delay of 10 s was employed along with a diffusion time (Δ) of 50 ms and an eddy current delay of 5 ms. Bipolar gradient pulses (Δ/2) of 2.2 ms and homospoil gradient pulses of 1.1 ms were used. The gradient strengths of the 2 homospoil pulses were −17.13% and −13.17%, respectively. Thirty-two experiments of sixteen scans each were collected with the bipolar gradient shift, initially at 2% (1st experiment), linearly increased to 95% (32nd experiment). All gradient pulses were sine shaped and after each acquisition a recovery delay of 200 ms was used. Further processing was achieved using the MestReNova software.

MAS (magic angle spinning) ³¹P solid-state NMR experiments were recorded at room temperature on a Tecmag Apollo360 spectrometer using a CP/MAS Bruker probe. The ³¹P spectrum (Larmor frequency: 145.77 MHz, spinning rotation: 15 kHz) was externally referenced to a solution of H₃PO₄.

Elemental analyses were determined by Mr Stephen Boyer at London Metropolitan University.

Synthesis of dibromomethylpyridine. Adapted from a literature procedure:³⁷ 2,6-dihydroxymethylpyridine (3.48 g, 25 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of DMF. The flask was cooled to 0 ºC and PBr₃ (57.5 mmol, 5.5 mL) was added dropwise under vigorous stirring. The ice bath was removed and the mixture was stirred overnight. Water (125 mL) was slowly added to quench the reaction, the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 125 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with water (2 × 150 mL) and brine (150 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to dryness giving an off-white solid (6.03 g, 91%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H₂), 7.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H₂), 4.53 (s, 4H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 156.8 (C₃), 138.3 (C₁), 122.4 (C₂), 33.6 (C₄).

Synthesis of 1. NaN₃ (0.813 g, 12.5 mmol) was dissolved in DMSO (25 mL), dibromomethylpyridine (1.33 g, 5 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Water (25 mL) was added to quench the reaction, the mixture was then extracted with Et₂O (3 × 50 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with water (2 × 100 mL) and brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to dryness to yield 1 as a yellow oil (0.940 g, 99%). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.76 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H₁), 7.30 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H₂), 4.48 (s, 4H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 155.9 (C₃), 138.0 (C₁), 121.1 (C₂), 55.4 (C₄).

Synthesis of L. In a Schlenk flask, 1 (1.40 g, 7.40 mmol) and PPh₃ (3.88 g, 14.8 mmol) were mixed in dry Et₂O (70 mL) inducing nitrogen evolution. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature (if necessary the flask can be evacuated after few minutes to avoid over-pressure). The completeness of the reaction was verified by ³¹P{¹H} NMR showing a singlet at 6.6 ppm for the bis(minnophosphorane). The reaction volume was reduced to about 15 mL resulting in the formation of a white precipitate which was filtered under nitrogen, washed with pentane (2 × 30 mL) and dried under vacuum to yield 3 (4.23 g, 87%). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN) δ 9.9 (s). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.79–7.59 (m, 15H, H₁, H₂, and H₆), 7.57–7.30 (m, 18H, H₂, H₆), 4.30 (d, J₁₆ = 16.0 Hz, 4H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 164.4 (J₁₆C = 23.5 Hz, C₃), 136.7 (C₁), 132.8 (d, J₂₆C = 9.0 Hz, C₆), 132.4 (d, J₂₆C = 95.5 Hz, C₃), 131.5 (d, J₂₆C = 3.0 Hz, C₆), 128.7 (d, C₁₆J = 11.5 Hz, C₇), 118.5 (C₂), 51.4 (d, J₄₆C = 3.0 Hz, C₄). Anal. Caled for C₄₃H₃₇N₃P₂: C, 78.52; H, 5.67; N, 6.39. Found: C, 78.39; H, 5.74; N, 6.49.

Synthesis of [CuBr][L] (2). From CuBr: L (0.917 g, 1.39 mmol) and CuBr (0.200 g, 1.39 mmol) were mixed in THF (10 mL) and heated overnight at 50 ºC, the mixture turned yellow with the formation of a clear yellow precipitate. The completeness of the reaction was ascertained by ³¹P{¹H} NMR, then the solvent volume was reduced to about 5 mL and 10 mL of pentane was added to achieve the precipitation. The resulting mixture was centrifuged, the supernatant was removed, the solid was washed twice with pentane (10 mL) and finally dried under vacuum overnight to yield the title compound as a clear yellow powder (1.05 g, 94%). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (THF-d₈) δ 16.5 (bs). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 7.77 (t, J₁₆H = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H₁), 7.64–7.08 (m, 30H, H₆, H₇, and H₈), 7.04 (d, J₁₆H = 7.7 Hz, 2H, H₂), 4.13 (d, J₂₆C = 11.1 Hz, 4H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN) δ 161.6 (d, J₂₆C = 19.0 Hz, C₁), 138.6 (C₁), 133.7 (C₆), 133.6 (J₂₆C = 9.5 Hz, C₆), 129.8 (J₂₆C = 12.0 Hz, C₃), 128.3 (J₂₆C = 98.8 Hz, C₃), 122.6 (C₄), 53.2 (C₄). Anal. Caled for C₄₃H₃₇BrCuN₃P₂: C, 64.46; H, 4.65; N, 5.24. Found: C, 64.31; H, 4.66; N, 5.17.

From [CuBr(SEt₂)]⁻: 23.4 mg of [CuBr(SEt₂)]⁻ (23.4 mg, 0.131 g, 0.2 mmol) were mixed in THF (5 mL) for 2 hours. 2 was obtained after precipitation and repeated washing with pentane (5 × 3 mL) (43.5 mg, 54%). From [CuBr(PPh₃)]⁻: L (0.131 g, 0.2 mmol) and [CuBr(PPh₃)]⁻ (0.186 g, 0.2 mmol) were stirred in THF (5 mL) for 2 hours, the completeness of the reaction was verified by...
31P{1H} NMR showing 2, and the presence of free PPh3 (δp = −5.2 ppm). The solvent was evaporated and the resulting solid was washed with Et2O (6 × 5 mL), finally 2 was obtained as a slightly yellow powder (64.2 mg, 40%).

**Complex [L2Cu(PF6)]2 (3).** In a Schlenk flask, L (131.5 mg, 0.2 mmol) and [Cu(CH2CN)2]PF6 (74.4 mg, 0.2 mmol) were dissolved in THF (5 mL), the solution turned quickly to yellow/green and was stirred for one additional hour upon which a yellow precipitate formed. The completeness of the reaction was checked by 31P{1H} NMR of the crude mixture. The solvent was reduced to about 1 mL and 10 mL of petroleum ether were added to complete the precipitation, the solid was separated by filtration, washed with Et2O (5 mL) and petroleum ether (10 mL). The resulting yellow solid was dried under vacuum overnight to give 3 (147 mg, 85%). 31P{1H} NMR (CD3CN) δ 30.8 (s, −142.2 (hept, JFp = 706 Hz). 1H NMR (CD3CN) δ 7.78 (t, JH,Hy = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H1), 7.55–7.41 (m, 6H, H6), 7.38–7.25 (m, 12H, H2), 7.20–7.16 (m, 12H, H2), 6.98 (d, JH,Hy = 7.8 Hz, 2H, H3), 4.12 (bs, 4H, H4). 13C NMR (CD3CN) δ 161.7 (d, JCP = 17.6 Hz, C13), 138.9 (C13), 134.0 (JCp = 2.9 Hz, C9), 133.8 (JCp = 9.5 Hz, C6), 130.0 (d, JCp = 12.1 Hz, C7), 128.1 (JCp = 99.1 Hz, C8), 123.2 (C2), 53.7 (C3). Anal. Calcd for C58H2Cu2P2F22N6P6: C, 59.62; H, 4.31; N, 4.85. Found: C, 59.51; H, 4.41; N, 4.82.

From 2: Using a vial, 2 (9.1 mg, 11.4 µmol) and AgPF6 (2.9 mg, 11.4 µmol) were mixed in THF-d8 (1 mL) inducing the rapid precipitation of the formed AgBr salt. The mixture is filtered and transferred to an NMR tube for spectroscopic analysis which was in agreement with the data reported above. The yield was not determined.

**Complex [LCu(PEt3)]PF6 (4).** In a vial, P3 (25 mg, 0.21 mmol) was added to [L2Cu ]PF6 (64.9 mg 0.038 mmol) suspended in THF (2 mL), within few seconds the solution turned yellow and was stirred for one additional hour upon which a green and was stirred for one additional hour upon which a yellow precipitate formed. The completeness of the reaction was checked by 31P{1H} NMR of the crude mixture. The solvent was reduced to about 1 mL and 10 mL of petroleum ether were added to complete the precipitation, the solid was separated by filtration, washed with Et2O (5 mL) and petroleum ether (10 mL). The resulting yellow solid was dried under vacuum overnight to give 4 (131.5 mg, 0.2 mmol) was suspended in THF (10 mL) and anhydrous CuBr2 (44.7 mg, 0.2 mmol) were mixed in THF-d8 (1 mL) inducing the rapid precipitation of the formed AgBr salt. The mixture is filtered and transferred to an NMR tube for spectroscopic analysis which was in agreement with the data reported above. The yield was not determined.

**General catalytic protocols for [3 + 2] cycloaddition.** In a glove-box, a Schlenk flask was charged with the catalyst (1 mol% or 0.1 mol%), the flask was closed and removed from the glove-box. Under a flux of nitrogen, the solvent or the mixture of solvents (1 mL) was added, and then the azide (1 mmol, 154 µL) and the alkyne (1 mmol, 110 µL) were introduced. Depending on the reaction conditions, the flask was either closed, evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen or let to open air. The completion of the reaction was checked by TLC. The reaction was quenched by the addition of water (5 mL), extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL), dried over MgSO4 and subjected to rotary evaporation to yield the triazole.

1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (94%). Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the literature. The compound was also univocally identified by X-ray analysis of crystals obtained by slow evaporation of the NMR sample (X-ray structure is available on request).

**General optimised catalytic protocols for cyclopropanation.** In a glove-box, a Schlenk flask was charged with the catalyst (1 mol%) and the silver salt (1 mol%). The flask was closed and removed from the glove-box. Under a flux of nitrogen, dry CH2Cl2 (3 mL) and styrene (460 µL, 4 mmol) were added using a syringe, then the flask was cooled to about −5 °C and the EDA (2 mmol, 210 µL) diluted in 5 mL of dry CH2Cl2 was added dropwise over 1 h. The flask was then closed, evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen and stirred overnight. The mixture was analyzed by GC. The solvent was then evaporated and the mixture taken up with diastereoisomers with NMR data in agreement with the literature.

**X-ray crystallography.** Data were collected at 150 K on a Bruker Kappa APEX II diffractometer using a Mo-Kα (λ = 0.71069 Å) X-ray source and a graphite monochromator. The crystal structure was solved using SIR 97 and SHELXL-97 or SHELXL-2013. ORTEP drawings were made using ORTEP III for Windows, X-ray data are gathered in Table S1 (ESI†).

**Computational details.** All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 series of programs (revision B.01). The ω-B97XD functional was used in combination with the 6-31G* basis set for carbons and hydrogens; the 6-311+G** basis set for bromine, phosphorus, nitrogen and oxygen; and the Def2-TZVP basis set for copper.
by full vibration frequency calculations, with no imaginary frequency for minima (stationary point), and one imaginary frequency for the transition states. Solvent effects were introduced through PCM single-point calculations.\textsuperscript{45} using standard Gaussian parameters, the solvent was THF. The PCM Gibbs energies were calculated using the correction proposed by Maseras and coworkers.\textsuperscript{46}
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