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ABSTRACT We review nonlinear optical processes that are specific to chiral molecules in solution and on surfaces. In contrast to conventional natural optical activity phenomena, which depend linearly on the electric field strength of the optical field, we discuss how optical processes that are nonlinear (quadratic, cubic, and quartic) functions of the electromagnetic field strength may probe optically active centers and chiral vibrations. We show that nonlinear techniques open entirely new ways of exploring chirality in chemical and biological systems: The cubic processes give rise to nonlinear circular dichroism and nonlinear optical rotation and make it possible to observe dynamic chiral processes at ultrafast time scales. The quadratic second-harmonic and sum-frequency-generation phenomena and the quartic processes may arise entirely in the electric-dipole approximation and do not require the use of circularly polarized light to detect chirality. They provide surface selectivity and their observables can be relatively much larger than in linear optical activity. These processes also give rise to the generation of light at a new color, and in liquids this frequency conversion only occurs if the solution is optically active. We survey recent chiral nonlinear optical experiments and give examples of their application to problems of biophysical interest.
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Natural optical activity comprises optical rotation (circular birefringence) and circular dichroism. Fresnel showed that a medium gives rise to optical rotation if its refractive indices for right- and left-circularly polarized light are unequal.1 A difference in the corresponding absorption indices causes plane-polarized light to become elliptically polarized (circular dichroism). In optical activity phenomena, neither the angle of rotation of a polarized light beam nor its ellipticity is expected to change with the intensity of the light. However, at typical peak powers of pulsed lasers, the optical field strength can become comparable to the field that binds the valence electrons to the nucleus of an atom or a molecule, and under these conditions the optical properties will have contributions that depend nonlinearly on the applied electromagnetic fields. This is the realm of nonlinear optics.2–4 The usual linear refractive indices observed at low light intensities are then augmented by additional contributions that depend on the intensity of the light. Hence, nonlinear contributions to optical activity may be observed in optically active media under appropriate experimental conditions. We show that the cubic dependence on the electric field in nonlinear optical activity phenomena allows the observation of dynamic optical activity processes with unprecedented temporal resolution.

As we shall see, nonlinear optical phenomena may also give rise to the generation of light at a new wavelength. For instance, in sum-frequency generation, two laser beams that are in the visible may “mix” in a sample and generate light in the ultraviolet (UV). Surprisingly, such a process is only symmetry-allowed in a liquid, if the solution is optically active. In contrast to conventional optical activity, however, this nonlinear process can arise entirely from induced electric dipoles (without magnetic or quadrupolar transitions) and is not circular differential. Hence, no polarization modulation is needed, and the generated photons themselves are a measure of the solution’s chirality. Because an achiral solvent cannot contribute to the signal, the technique is a sensitive, background-free probe of molecular chirality. In addition to the quadratic sum-frequency-generation process, which
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has been observed, a higher-order (quartic) nonlinear Raman spectroscopy (BioCARS) has been predicted to exist with similar properties. These techniques make it possible to access states of chiral molecules that lie in the UV as well as probe molecular vibrations with visible light. We discuss recent experiments and show how the application of an additional static electric field to sum-frequency generation allows the absolute configuration of the chiral solute to be determined.

Nonlinear spectroscopies can also be applied to oriented assemblies of (chiral) molecules. Such is the case with sum-frequency generation (SFG) and the bulk-forbidden but surface-specific second-harmonic generation process (SHG). Linear-polarized as well as circularly polarized light can probe the nonlinear optical response from a chiral molecular monolayer. Remarkably, the nonlinear intensity differentials in SHG can be much larger than for linear optical activity. For instance, the chiral SHG observable in a surface-specific second-harmonic generation process (SFG) and the bulk-forbidden but molecular polarizability via

$$\chi^{(1)} = \frac{N}{\varepsilon_0} \left( \alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz} \right) \equiv \frac{N}{\varepsilon_0} \pi. \quad (4)$$

The linear refractive index $n_0$ of an isotropic, nonmagnetic dielectric is a function of the susceptibility,

$$n_0 = (1 + \chi^{(1)})^{1/2} \equiv \sqrt{\varepsilon}. \quad (5)$$

To describe the refractive index of an optically active liquid, it becomes necessary to go beyond the electric-dipole approximation in eq. (1), and to include the induced magnetic dipole moment $m_{\text{ind}}$ (and for oriented samples also the induced electric-quadrupole moment $Q_{\text{ind}}$). In analogy to eq. (2), the macroscopic magnetization and the macroscopic quadrupole density are respectively $M = N(m_{\text{ind}})$ and $Q = N(Q_{\text{ind}})$.

$$\mu_{\text{ind}} = \alpha \vec{E} + \omega^{-1} \vec{G}, \quad (6)$$

where the dot denotes a derivative with respect to time, and where $\vec{G}$ is the optical rotation tensor, which is a function of the rotational strength.

We now consider a monochromatic circularly polarized plane wave traveling along the $z$ direction. In an optically active medium, the electric field $\vec{E}_0$ with amplitude $E_0$, and the magnetic field $\vec{B}_0$ can (at a point $z = 0$) be written as

$$\vec{E}_0 = E_0 (x \cos(\omega t) + y \sin(\omega t)), \quad \vec{B}_0 = E_0 \frac{n_0}{c} (\pm x \sin(\omega t) + y \cos(\omega t)). \quad (7)$$

The upper sign corresponds to right circularly polarized and the lower sign to left circularly polarized light; $x$
and \( \bar{y} \) are unit vectors; and \( c \) is the speed of light in a vacuum.

Substitution of the fields into \( \bar{\mu}_{\text{ind}} \) in eq. (6) and use of eq. (3) yield

\[
P^\pm = \varepsilon_0 \left( \frac{N\alpha}{\varepsilon_0} \pm \frac{NG^* n_0}{\varepsilon_0 c} \right) E^\pm \tag{8}
\]

for a liquid, where the isotropic component \( G^* = (G_{xx} + G_{yy} + G_{zz})/3 \). The induced magnetic dipole term is best included by considering an effective polarization that contains the contributions due to the magnetization and the quadrupole density\(^{15,16} \)

\[
\bar{P}_{\text{eff}} = \bar{P} + \frac{i}{\omega} \nabla \times \bar{M} - \nabla \cdot \bar{Q}, \tag{9}
\]

such that

\[
P_{\text{eff}}^\pm = \varepsilon_0 \left( \frac{N\alpha}{\varepsilon_0} \pm \frac{2NG^* n_0}{\varepsilon_0 c} \right) E^\pm \tag{10}
\]

The effective polarization is of the form

\[
\bar{P}_{\text{eff}} = \varepsilon_0 \chi_{\text{eff}} \bar{E}, \tag{11}
\]

and since the refractive index of a dielectric is, in general, given by \( n = (1 + \chi_{\text{eff}})^{1/2} \), we obtain the refractive index of an optically active liquid for right (+) and left (−) circularly polarized light:

\[
n^{(\pm)} \approx n_0 \pm g_0, \quad \text{where} \quad g_0 = \frac{NG^*}{\varepsilon_0 c}. \tag{12}
\]

The optical rotation in radians developed over a path length \( l \) is a function of the wavelength \( \lambda \) and the circular birefringence and is given by:\(^8 \)

\[
\vartheta = \frac{\pi l}{\lambda} \left( n^{(-)} - n^{(+)}) \right). \tag{13}
\]

We thus obtain the familiar Rosenfeld equation for optical rotation:

\[
\vartheta \approx -\frac{2\pi l}{\lambda} g_0. \tag{14}
\]

**Nonlinear Optical Activity**

In order to describe nonlinear optical contributions to the refractive index we need to consider the induced moments expanded in powers of the electromagnetic field. The induced electric-dipole moment of eq. (1) oscillating at \( \omega \) becomes

\[
\bar{\mu}_{\text{ind}} = \alpha \bar{E} + \gamma \bar{E} \bar{E} + \text{....} \tag{15}
\]

For an electric field \( \bar{E} \propto \bar{E}_0 \cos(\omega t) \), the term that is cubic in the electric field has a time dependence \( \cos^3(\omega t) \). Because \( \cos^3(\omega t) \propto 3 \cos(\omega t) + \cos(3\omega t) \), there is a term that varies as \( \cos(\omega t) \) and therefore contributes to the refractive index at \( \omega \). The term that is quadratic in the electric field \( \bar{\beta} \bar{E} \bar{E} \) has been omitted from eq. (15) as it has no term that oscillates at the input angular frequency \( \omega \). \( \bar{\beta} \) and \( \bar{y} \) are known as the first and second hyperpolarizabilities, respectively. The first hyperpolarizability \( \bar{\beta} \) gives rise to second-harmonic generation and sum-frequency generation (vide infra). It is seen that several nonlinear optical processes may occur simultaneously and that the respective hyperpolarizabilities are distinguished by their frequency argument.

The refractive index that includes the nonlinear (intensity-dependent) electric-dipolar contribution immediately follows from eqs. (2) and (15). We define \( \chi^{(3)} = N\bar{\gamma}/\varepsilon_0 \) and write

\[
\bar{P} = \varepsilon_0 \left( \chi^{(1)} + \chi^{(3)} \left\| E_0 \right\|^2 \right) \bar{E}, \tag{16}
\]

such that (cf. eq. (11)) \( n \approx n_0 + \chi^{(3)} \left\| E_0 \right\|^2/(2n_0) \). We can write the refractive index in terms of the intensity, \( I \propto \left\| E_0 \right\|^2 \), and use a more compact notation by introducing the nonlinear index of refraction, \( n_2 \):\(^4 \)

\[
n \approx n_0 + n_2 I. \tag{17}
\]

By considering the cubic field terms in eq. (6), the intensity-dependent refractive index of an optically active liquid can be derived in a similar fashion. Inspection of eqs. (12) and (17) suggests its general form:

\[
n^{(\pm)} \approx (n_0 + n_2 I) \pm (g_0 + g_2 I), \tag{18}
\]

where we have introduced a “nonlinear optical activity index,” \( g_2 \). Hence, the circular birefringence of an optically active liquid is modified in the presence of intense light. In analogy to the Rosenfeld equation, we can write a generalized equation that describes linear and nonlinear optical rotation:

\[
\vartheta \approx -\frac{2\pi l}{\lambda} (g_0 + g_2 I). \tag{19}
\]

The corresponding absorption indices describe linear and nonlinear circular dichroism.

Nonlinear optical phenomena may not only modify the refractive index of an optically active liquid, but they can also generate light at wavelengths different from those of the incident laser. We now discuss such frequency-conversion processes that are specific to chiral molecules in liquids and on surfaces.

\(^4\)Other definitions of the fields and polarizations can be found in the nonlinear optics literature. The numerical factors that enter the expression for the refractive index and that accompany the susceptibilities (here and elsewhere in this paper) may therefore differ from those used in other conventions.
**Coherent Frequency Conversion Processes in Chiral Media**

The induced dipole quadratic in the electric field,  
\[ \vec{\mu}_{\text{ind}} = \beta E \vec{E}, \tag{20} \]
with \( E \propto E_0 \cos(\omega t) \), has a static term and a term that oscillates at twice the applied frequency, since \( \cos^2(\omega t) \propto 1 + \cos(2\omega t) \). The induced dipole oscillating at \( 2\omega \) radiates and is the source of second-harmonic generation. Should the incident optical field contain two frequencies \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \), then radiation at the sum-frequency \( (\omega_1 + \omega_2) \) and the difference-frequency \( (\omega_1 - \omega_2) \) may be generated. Only sum (and difference) frequencies can be generated in a liquid, and only if the liquid is optically active.

**Sum-frequency generation in liquids.** The polarization for a sum-frequency-generation process is in general described by\(^d\)
\[ \vec{P}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = \varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \vec{E}(\omega_1) \vec{E}(\omega_2), \tag{21} \]
where the second-order susceptibility tensor \( \chi^{(2)} \) is written with its frequency argument.

The intensity of the sum frequency is proportional to \( |\vec{P}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)|^2 \). In a liquid, one needs to consider an isotropic average in eq. (21) with the result that the polarization is given by the vector cross product of the incident fields
\[ \vec{P}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = \varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \left( \vec{E}(\omega_1) \times \vec{E}(\omega_2) \right), \tag{22} \]
and the susceptibility (for one enantiomer) is a scalar of the form
\[ \chi^{(2)} = \frac{N}{\varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{6} \left( \beta_{xyz} - \beta_{xyz} + \beta_{yzx} + \beta_{zyx} - \beta_{xzy} - \beta_{yxz} \right) \equiv \frac{N}{\varepsilon_0} \beta. \tag{23} \]

The term in parentheses vanishes for any molecule that possesses reflection planes, a center of inversion, or rotation–reflection axes, and \( \beta \) is thus only nonzero for a chiral molecule.\(^a\) It is of opposite sign for the enantiomers of a chiral molecule. \( \beta \) is therefore a pseudoscalar (a scalar that changes sign under parity), as are the chirality observables \( G_1, g_0, \) and \( g_2 \). However, in contrast to linear and nonlinear optical activity phenomena, \( \beta \) arises entirely in the electric-dipole approximation (no magnetic or electric-quadrupolar contributions). \( \chi^{(2)} \) is zero in a racemic mixture, as here the number densities for the R- and S-enantiomers are equal and their contributions to eq. (23) cancel. Sum-frequency generation in a liquid is thus in itself a measure of a solution’s chirality.

**Second-harmonic and sum-frequency generation at surfaces.** Second-harmonic generation cannot occur in a liquid, even if the solution is optically active.\(^f\) In SHG \( \omega_1 = \omega_2 \) and the hyperpolarizability components in eq. (23) become symmetric in the corresponding indices, e.g., \( \beta_{xyz} = \beta_{zyx} \) etc., such that their antisymmetric sum vanishes. However, both sum-frequency generation (SFG) and second-harmonic generation (SHG) are allowed at a surface provided the molecules at the interface adopt a preferred orientation. This is, for instance, the case for a thin layer of dipolar molecules that lie between two isotropic media with different optical properties, such as the liquid/air interface. Generally, one assumes that such a surface is symmetric about its normal, giving \( C_\infty \) symmetry for chiral molecules and \( C_{\infty v} \) for achiral molecules. The interface is then characterized by one axis, the surface normal, around which it is rotationally invariant and which is parallel to the direction of the average dipole moment. In addition, the arrangement of the molecules at the interface can often be characterized by an average tilt angle \( \theta \) of the molecular axis (taken to be along “Z”) with respect to the surface normal (along “Z”). The nonvanishing components of the second-order surface susceptibility tensor, evaluated in the frame of the laboratory that contains the optical fields, are then related to the first hyperpolarizability tensor, which is expressed in molecular coordinates, by the following matrix equations:\(^{17,18}\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\chi_{ZZZ}^{(2)} \\
\chi_{XXZ}^{(2)} \\
\chi_{XZZ}^{(2)}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{N_a}{\varepsilon_0} \begin{pmatrix}
2a & 2b & 2b \\
2b & c & -b & -b \\
2b & -b & c & -b \\
2b & -b & -b & c
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\beta_{xyz} \\
\beta_{xyz} \\
\beta_{yzx} + \beta_{zyx} \\
\beta_{xzy} + \beta_{yxz}
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{24}
\]

where \( N_a \) is the number of molecules per unit area and where \( a = \cos^2 \theta, \ b = \frac{1}{2} (\cos \theta - \cos^3 \theta), \ c = \frac{1}{2} (\cos \theta + \cos^3 \theta) \). In addition, the following identities hold:

\[
\chi_{ZXX}^{(2)} = \chi_{XYZ}^{(2)} = \chi_{XZT}^{(2)} = \chi_{XYZ}^{(2)} = \chi_{XZT}^{(2)}.
\]

\(^a\) The polarization oscillating at the sum frequency is the source of a new wave, so that one has to consider the interaction of several (incident and generated) coupled waves in the medium. Efficient frequency conversion occurs when the vector sum of the incoming photon momenta matches the momentum of the generated wave (phase-matching).

\(^b\) Parity, or space inversion, is the symmetry operation that interconverts the enantiomers of a chiral molecule. Under space inversion all coordinates \((x,y,z)\) are replaced everywhere by \((-x,-y,-z)\). It follows that under parity all the hyperpolarizability tensor components in eq. (23) change sign, e.g., \( \beta_{xzy} \rightarrow -\beta_{xzy} \). Hence, the antisymmetric sum \( (\beta) \) in eq. (25) also changes sign under parity.

\(^f\) No coherent SHG, whether electric-dipolar or magnetic-dipolar/electric-quadrupolar, can be generated in the bulk of a liquid if the incident fields are plane waves. Only near a surface can multipolar “bulk” SHG give rise to a traveling wave.
For chiral molecules, there are additional components

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\chi^{(2)}_{XZX} \\
\chi^{(2)}_{YZX} \\
\chi^{(2)}_{XYX}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{N_A}{\varepsilon_0} \begin{pmatrix}
d & e & e \\
e & e & d \\
e & e & d
\end{pmatrix} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\beta_{xyz} - \beta_{zyx} \\
\beta_{xyz} - \beta_{zyx} \\
\beta_{xyz} - \beta_{zyx}
\end{array} \right\},
\tag{25}
\]

where \( d = \frac{1}{2} \cos^2 \theta, e = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \cos^2 \theta) \), and where

\[
\chi^{(2)}_{XZX} = -\chi^{(2)}_{YZX} = -\chi^{(2)}_{YXY} = -\chi^{(2)}_{XYZ}.
\]

The matrix equations above hold for SFG and SHG. In addition, the following identities apply in the case of SHG:

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi^{(2)}_{XZX} &= \chi^{(2)}_{XXZ} = \chi^{(2)}_{YXZ} = \chi^{(2)}_{XYZ} \\
\chi^{(2)}_{YZX} &= -\chi^{(2)}_{YZX} = -\chi^{(2)}_{XZY} = -\chi^{(2)}_{XZY}.
\end{align*}
\tag{26}
\]

Only chiral molecules, i.e., molecules with the point-group symmetry \( C_m, D_n, O, T, \) or \( I \), can give rise to the surface susceptibility elements in eq. (25). Hence an experimental geometry that probes one of the “\( XYZ \)” surface-susceptibility elements is a probe of molecular chirality. For example, any \( Y \)-polarized SHG that is observed in reflection from a \( C_m \) surface, when the input beam is polarized parallel to the plane of incidence (\( ZX \)), requires the surface to be chiral. The components of the polarization from the surface are in this case given by

\[
P_Y(2\alpha) = 2\varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)}_{XZX} \cos \varphi \sin \varphi |\vec{E}_0(\omega_1)|^2,
\tag{27}
\]

where \( \varphi \) is the angle of incidence. In addition, there will be achiral contributions

\[
\begin{align*}
P_Z(2\alpha) &= \varepsilon_0 \left( \chi^{(2)}_{ZZX} \sin^2 \varphi + \chi^{(2)}_{XZX} \cos^2 \varphi \right) |\vec{E}_0(\omega_1)|^2, \\
P_X(2\alpha) &= 2\varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)}_{XZX} \cos \varphi \sin \varphi |\vec{E}_0(\omega_1)|^2,
\end{align*}
\tag{28}
\]

where we have used the identities in eq. (26). The second-harmonic’s plane of polarization is thus seen to “rotate” as a function of \( \chi^{(2)}_{XZX} \) and as a function of the enantiomeric excess at the interface. This effect has been termed “SHG-ORD.” Similarly, the circular SHG intensity differential has been termed “SHG-CD,” and “SHG-LD” describes linear SHG intensity differentials. These descriptions are widely used, and we follow this practice in this review. However, we would like to stress that these SHG processes do not arise from differences in the refractive and absorption indices that respectively underlie optical rotatory dispersion and circular dichroism in linear optical activity.

This completes our theoretical overview, and we now turn to the application of these concepts.

**SUM-FREQUENCY GENERATION IN CHIRAL LIQUIDS**

In sum-frequency-generation (SFG) spectroscopy, the pulses from a laser are overlapped in a medium and the light generated at the sum of the two incident frequencies is detected. The light at the sum-frequency is a coherent and highly directional beam, and SFG is routinely used in crystals to generate radiation at wavelengths different from those of the available laser. As discussed in the preceding section, electric-dipolar SFG cannot occur in a medium that has a center of symmetry. SFG is therefore excluded in liquids, unless they are optically active: The intrinsic symmetry-breaking in chiral molecules causes a nonracemic liquid to be non-centrosymmetric and, as predicted by Giordmaine, allows for electric-dipolar SFG. Sum-frequency generation from chiral liquids has recently been reexamined and has been observed experimentally. We now discuss some of its salient features.

The induced electric-dipole polarization radiating at the sum-frequency is in a liquid given by eq. (22),

\[
\vec{P}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = \varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \left[ \vec{E}(\omega_1) \times \vec{E}(\omega_2) \right],
\]

where \( \vec{E}(\omega_1) \) and \( \vec{E}(\omega_2) \) are monochromatic fields that oscillate with frequency components \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \), respectively. It follows from the vector cross product that the electric fields at \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \) and \( (\omega_1 + \omega_2) \) need to span the \( X \), \( Y \), and \( Z \) directions of a Cartesian frame. This requires a non-collinear beam geometry with one \( s \)-polarized and two \( p \)-polarized beams. Whereas linear optical activity probes chirality with left- and right-circularly polarized light, the chiral probe in SFG corresponds to the three field directions which either form a left-handed or a right-handed coordinate frame. Chiral SFG spectroscopy therefore needs no circularly polarized light and no polarization modulation. Rather, it is the detection of photons at the sum frequency that constitutes the chiral measurement.

One can immediately see that there can be no chiral probe if two of the three waves have the same frequency, as is the case for SHG. The electric field vectors of the two frequency-degenerate fields add, and the three waves no longer make a coordinate frame. This is also borne out by the quantum mechanical expression for \( \beta \) which is proportional to \( \omega_1 - \omega_2 \) and therefore goes to zero for SHG, where \( \omega_1 = \omega_2 \).

As discussed in the introductory material, \( \chi^{(2)} \) is the rotationally averaged component of the second-order susceptibility and is a pseudoscalar. For a solution that contains only two optically active molecular species, namely, the \( R \) and \( S \)-enantiomers of a chiral molecule, we can write the isotropic part of the electric-dipolar second-order susceptibility as

\[
\chi^{(2)} = \frac{1000N_A}{\varepsilon_0} ((|R| - |S|)\overline{\beta}_{R},
\tag{29}
\]

where the square brackets denote a concentration in mol/L, and where \( N_A \) is Avogadro’s number. \( \overline{\beta}_R \) is the \( \beta \) of the \( R \)-enantiomer and is given by

\[
\overline{\beta}_R = \frac{1}{6} (\beta_{R,xyz} - \beta_{R,zyx} + \beta_{R,yzx} - \beta_{R,zxy} + \beta_{R,zxy} - \beta_{R,xyz}),
\tag{30}
\]
as is required for a pseudoscalar, $\overline{p}_R = -\overline{p}_S$, so that $\chi^{(2)}$ is zero for a racemic solution (where $[R] = [S]$). The intensity at the sum frequency is proportional to $|\chi^{(2)}|^2$, and the sum-frequency signal thus depends quadratically on the difference in concentration of the two enantiomers. A sum-frequency experiment therefore measures the chirality of a solution and not its handedness, and SFG does not distinguish between optical isomers.\textsuperscript{31} This is shown in Figure 1, where a quadratic dependence of a SFG signal on the (fractional) concentration difference of the R(+)- and S(−)- enantiomers of 1,1′-bi-2-naphthol (BN) is observed.\textsuperscript{25} Within the noise of the experiment, no signal is recorded for the racemic mixture. SFG is thus effectively background free; any achiral signals (solvent, higher-order multipolar contributions) are either too weak to be observed or can be eliminated by choosing appropriate beam polarizations. As seen in Table 1, this is in contrast to linear optical activity phenomena, which always contain an achiral and a chiral response. Several other differences between optical activity and chiral SFG are also listed in Table 1. For instance, linear optical activity phenomena require both electric-dipolar as well as magnetic-dipolar transitions, whereas SFG from an optically active liquid is entirely electric-dipolar. This is significant, as magnetic-dipole (and electric-quadrupole) transitions are typically much weaker than electric-dipole transitions. Nevertheless, the absolute strength of the SFG signals is low. In principle, this is not a problem, as it is possible to detect low light levels with single-photon counting methods, especially as there is little or no background in SFG. However, in practice at least one of the three frequencies needs to be near or on (electronic or vibrational) resonance for there to be a measurable SFG signal. The concomitant linear absorption further limits the conversion efficiency, which is already low as the SFG process cannot be phase-matched in liquids.

### Table 1. Comparison between linear optical activity and nonlinear optical sum-frequency generation as probes of molecular chirality in liquids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Optical activity</th>
<th>Nonlinear optics (SFG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pseudoscalar</td>
<td>$G \propto \text{Im} [\overline{\mu}<em>{nm} \cdot m</em>{ng}]$</td>
<td>$\overline{p} \propto (\omega_1 - \omega_2) \overline{\mu}_{nm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>electric- and magnetic-dipolar</td>
<td>electric-dipolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>signal</td>
<td>chiral and achiral response</td>
<td>only chiral response, no background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experiment: chiral probe</td>
<td>cp light</td>
<td>linearly polarized beams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observable</td>
<td>different response to cp light</td>
<td>intensity at sum frequency $\sim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ab initio calculations and $\overline{p}$ of binaphthol.** Quantum chemical calculations on several chiral molecules confirm that the SFG pseudoscalar $\overline{p}$ is, even near resonance, much weaker than a regular nonzero tensor component of the first hyperpolarizability.\textsuperscript{22,26,32–34} Since $\overline{p}$ has no static limit, its dispersion is, however, much more dramatic. Figure 2 shows the enhancement by many orders of magnitude that the SFG signal (which is proportional to the square of $\overline{p}$) from BN experiences over a relatively small wavelength range.\textsuperscript{26} The mechanism of the nonlinear response in BN has been computed ab initio.\textsuperscript{26,35,36}

![Fig. 1.](image-url) Structures of 1,1′-bi-2-naphthol (BN) and continuous titration of two 0.5 M solutions of $R$(+)-BN and $S$(-)-BN in tetrahydrofuran. The sum-frequency intensity is observed at 266 nm with the incident beams at 800 and 400 nm. (Adapted from ref. 25).
and its (vibronic) exciton chirality has been discussed in terms of coupled-oscillator models. The nonlinearity of BN is predominantly electric-dipolar (see also the section “Molecular origin of chiral SHG”). Recent experiments show that nonlocal higher-order multipolar (magnetic and electric quadrupolar) contributions to SFG from BN are measurable and that they are indeed much weaker than the electric-dipolar nonlinearity. We stress that selecting the polarization of all three fields in bulk SFG allows the chiral electric-dipolar signals to be discerned from any achiral (magnetic-dipolar and electric-quadrupolar) SFG signals. In two recent ab initio studies on helical \( p \)-conjugated molecules, such as helicenes and heliphenes, Champagne and co-workers predict that the nonresonant sum frequency \( \chi^j_H \) can be increased by functionalizing these molecules with suitable “push–pull” chromophores.

**SFG in the presence of a dc-electric field.** As the sum-frequency signal is proportional to the square of the enantiomeric concentration difference, SFG can in general not distinguish between optical isomers. However, Buckingham and Fischer have predicted that the application of a static electric field to a SFG process allows the enantiomers of a chiral solute to be distinguished and their absolute configuration to be determined. The static field gives rise to an electric-field induced contribution to coherent sum-frequency generation. This is an achiral electric-dipolar third-order contribution, such that the combined sum-frequency polarization along \( x \) is given by

\[
P_x(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = \frac{1}{C} \left( \chi^{(2)}_E(\omega_1)E_2(\omega_2) \right)_{\chi \text{ chiral}} + \chi^{(3)}_E(\omega_1)E_2(\omega_2)E_x(0),
\]

where we assume that the \( \omega_1 \) beam travels along the \( z \) direction and has its electric field vector oscillating along \( y \), and the \( \omega_2 \) beam to be plane polarized in the \( yz \) plane (see Fig. 3). The SFG signal is \( \sim |P^r(\omega_1 + \omega_2)|^2 \), and the cross-term between the chiral \( \chi^{(2)}_E \) and the achiral electric-field induced \( \chi^{(3)}_E \) gives a contribution to the sum-frequency intensity that is linear in the static field, which is linear in \( \chi^{(2)}_E \):

\[
\text{SFG}(E) \propto \chi^{(2)}_E \chi^{(3)}_E(0),
\]

where we denote the contribution to the intensity at the sum frequency that is linear in the static field by \( \text{SFG}(E) \). Thus the absolute sign of the pseudoscalar \( \chi^{(2)}_E \) (and hence \( \mathbf{P} \)) can be obtained. It, in turn, is needed to determine the absolute configuration of the chiral solutes in the optically active liquid.

Fischer et al. have recently observed the effect in solutions of \( 1,1^\prime \)-bi-2-naphthol and have demonstrated that it allows nonlinear optical sum-frequency generation to be used to determine the absolute configuration of a chiral solute via an electric-dipolar optical process. Figure 3 shows that the \( \text{SFG}(E) \) signals depend linearly on the

![Fig. 2.](image-url) The dispersion of the chirality specific pseudoscalar \( \mathbf{P} \) is shown relative to that of the (achiral) vector component of the first hyperpolarizability \( \mathbf{b} \) for the sum-frequency generation process \( 3\omega = 2\omega + \omega \) in optically active \( 1,1^\prime \)-bi-2-naphthol (configuration action singles sum-over-states computation; Hartree–Fock theory with a cc-pVDZ basis set). (Adapted from ref. 26).

![Fig. 3.](image-url) (a) SFG(\( E \)) signals measured as a function of the fractional concentration difference in \( R(+)- \) and \( S(-)-1,1^\prime \)-bi-2-naphthol in tetrahydrofuran. (b) SFG beam geometry. (Adapted from ref. 28).
strength of the dc field and that they change sign with the enantiomer.

**Vibrational SFG.** Sum-frequency generation can probe electronic as well as vibrational transitions. If one of the lasers is tunable in the infrared, SFG may be used to record the vibrational spectrum of a chiral molecule. SFG vibrational spectra from neat limonene in the region of the CH-stretch have been reported by Shen and co-workers.\textsuperscript{23,42} The strength of the chiral CH-stretch modes in the limonene solutions $|\chi^{(2)}|_{\nu}$ was reported to be about 3 orders of magnitude smaller than a typical achiral stretch.\textsuperscript{23} Chiral spectra are measured with $p$-polarized input beams and a $s$-polarized sum-frequency beam, and with one $s$- and one $p$-polarized incident beam and a $p$-polarized sum-frequency. The liquids from the two enantiomers can be distinguished if beam polarizations are used that permit the observation of mixed chiral/achiral SFG.\textsuperscript{23}

The scattering amplitude for vibrational SFG in a liquid is proportional to the (generally weak) antisymmetric Raman tensor associated with the vibrational mode which is probed by the infrared resonance. Belkin et al. have shown that the antisymmetric part of the Raman tensor can become comparable in strength to the symmetric part under conditions of double-resonance (vibrational and electronic),\textsuperscript{43} and strong enhancement in doubly resonant SFG was recently observed in the vibrational spectrum of BN in solution and on a surface.\textsuperscript{27}

Time-resolved vibrational SFG optical activity measurements with circularly polarized infrared light have been proposed.\textsuperscript{44}

**SURFACE NONLINEAR OPTICS**

Second harmonic generation (SHG) is a surface specific spectroscopy that probes molecular order at surfaces and interfaces, e.g., the liquid/air interface.\textsuperscript{45,46} In SHG, a lightwave from a laser is incident on a surface or an interface at a fixed angle with respect to the surface normal. The incident field at the frequency $\omega$ induces dipole moments that oscillate at $2\omega$. Coherent addition of these moment from the surface leads to a macroscopic polarization

$$P(2\omega) = \varepsilon \chi^{(2)}(\omega + \omega)\vec{E}(\omega)\vec{E}(\omega)$$

which radiates a lightwave at the second harmonic ($2\omega$) in the specular direction. The intrinsic surface specificity of SHG originates from the symmetry breaking that occurs at an interface. Whereas $\chi^{(2)}(\omega + \omega)$ vanishes in the bulk of isotropic media, it is nonzero at surfaces and interfaces where the molecules may adopt a preferred orientation. Compared to linear optical spectroscopies, SHG can probe a single layer of molecules on a surface with little background signal from the bulk. Furthermore, as SHG is a nonlinear optical process, it relies on several parameters, such as the directions or the polarizations of the incoming light beams, and is therefore experimentally more versatile than a linear optical technique.

In 1993, Hicks and co-workers discovered that circular intensity differences in SHG are a probe of surface chirality.\textsuperscript{20} The application of SHG to the study of chiral molecules has been pioneered by Hicks and co-workers\textsuperscript{20,47} on 1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (BN) and by Persoons and co-workers\textsuperscript{48} on a functionalized poly(isocyanide) polymer. Numerous studies on chiral-surface SHG have since appeared in the literature, and we review some of them here.

In the case of SHG from a surface layer of chiral molecules, symmetry breaking is 2-fold: First, the interface breaks centrosymmetry such that surface SHG may occur, and second, the symmetry breaking in chiral molecules gives rise to additional contributions to the SHG signal. Both of these features contribute to surface SHG from chiral molecules. As described in the theoretical background material, SHG can be observed from an achiral surface as well as a chiral surface. Even for a chiral surface, the dominant signals can be electric-dipolar (or “local”)\textsuperscript{23}

$$P^{(2)}(2\omega) = \varepsilon \chi^{(2)} E(\omega)E(\omega)$$

where we label the susceptibility by the operators that enter its quantum mechanical expression (here a product of three electric-dipole ($\varepsilon$) transition moments). In contrast to linear optical activity phenomena, no magnetic dipolar or electric quadrupolar (“nonlocal”) contributions are required in SHG in order to observe a chiral optical response. In this case, surface SHG probes chirality, similar to sum-frequency generation from the bulk of a liquid, via susceptibility tensor elements that depend on the three orthogonal spatial directions “XYZ.” We discuss how SHG can be used to determine the origin of a molecule’s optical activity, and we shall see that the chiral SHG response for certain molecules is determined by electric-dipolar (local) susceptibilities and for others by magnetic-dipolar (nonlocal) susceptibilities.

**Chiral SHG: experimental setup.** The basic experimental geometry is depicted in Figure 4. The beam
polarizations are controlled either by polarizers, waveplates, or Babinet–Soleil compensators. The lightwave at the second harmonic is typically detected with a photomultiplier tube. Its intensity is proportional to $|P(2\omega)|^2$. Three types of experiments have been devised to study the response of a chiral surface that is rotationally invariant with respect to the surface normal ($C_\infty$ symmetry). In SHG optical rotatory dispersion (SHG-ORD), the incident beam is p-polarized and the detection of any “rotated” s-polarized polarization component at the second-harmonic indicates the presence of chiral molecules on the surface. Similarly, a difference in the SHG intensity as the circularly polarized incident beam is reversed is a signature of chiral molecules. This difference in SHG conversion efficiency is termed “second-harmonic generation circular difference” (SHG-CD). A second-harmonic generation linear difference (SHG-LD) measurement consists of measuring the SHG intensity for the incident fundamental beam polarized at $\pm 45^\circ$ with respect to the plane of incidence. Here again, the observation of an intensity difference is a sign of chiral molecules. In SHG-CD and SHG-LD experiments, the polarization states of the incident light can be continuously modulated with a rotating quarter-wave or half-wave plate, respectively, which dramatically improves the signal-to-noise ratio in these measurements.

The intensity differences in SHG experiments on chiral surfaces can be relatively much larger than commonly observed in typical linear optical ORD or CD experiments. For example, Hicks et al. measured SHG circular intensity differences of order unity from a monolayer of the R-enantiomer of BN, while in linear optics the difference between the left and right circularly polarized absorptivity divided by their sum is typically $10^{-3}$. Hicks and co-workers also showed that the origin of the SHG signals from BN can be explained within the electric-dipole approximation, as their experimental data agreed well with a theoretical calculation of the electric-dipolar nonlinear surface susceptibility. However, it is reasonable to expect that SHG signals from (certain) chiral molecules will, in general, also contain magnetic dipolar (and electric quadrupolar) contributions.

Local and nonlocal contributions to SHG. We show that magnetic-dipolar and electric-quadrupolar contributions are indeed required to understand SHG in some chiral molecules. Nonlocal susceptibilities should thus be included in the SHG response. In general, magnetic-dipolar as well as electric-quadrupolar terms ought to be considered separately. Instead, one usually writes all the nonlocal terms collectively as a single, generalized “magnetic contribution.” This is justified, as it is not possible to experimentally distinguish between the magnetic-dipolar and the electric-quadrupolar terms in a typical surface second-harmonic experiment. The total polarization is then expressed as

$$\mathbf{P}^{(2)}(2\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{\chi}^{\text{mee}} \mathbf{E}(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\omega) + \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{\chi}^{\text{emn}} \mathbf{E}(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{B}(\omega),$$

and it is necessary to introduce the nonlinear magnetization

$$M^{(2)}(2\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{\chi}^{\text{mee}} \mathbf{E}(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\omega).$$

The nonlocal $\chi^{\text{mee}}$ and $\chi^{\text{emn}}$ susceptibilities are also third-rank tensors, but their symmetry differs from the local, electric-dipolar susceptibility, due to the presence of a magnetic-dipole transition moment ($m$) in place of an electric-dipole transition moment ($e$). The nonzero components of these susceptibilities for achiral and for chiral surfaces can be found in reference 52. In order to establish the extent to which the nonlocal susceptibilities contribute to the chiral SHG signals, one needs to devise an experiment that can, on the one hand, distinguish between local and nonlocal signals and, on the other hand, between chiral and achiral signals. Should the local (electric-dipolar) contributions dominate, then a counter-propagating beam geometry can be used to separate the chiral from the achiral contributions. However, to some extent the various contributions remain mixed.

It is possible to separate the achiral and chiral contributions by examining the field at the second harmonic if it is written in the following form:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{t},p}(2\omega) = f_{\text{t},p} \mathbf{E}_p^0(\omega) + g_{\text{t},p} \mathbf{E}_s^0(\omega) + h_{\text{t},p} \mathbf{E}_s(\omega),$$

The advantage of this formulation is that the parameters $f_{t,p}$, $g_{t,p}$, and $h_{t,p}$ are functions of only the achiral components whereas $f_s$, $g_s$, $h_s$ depend exclusively on chiral susceptibilities. Exact expressions for these coefficients as a function of the susceptibility tensors can be found in reference 48. Note that these coefficients are complex-valued and that each coefficient contains electric as well as magnetic contributions—with the exception of $g_s$, which involves only magnetic terms. Plotting the SHG intensity as a function of the waveplate angle allows one to extract the $f$, $g$, and $h$ coefficients. An example of such a measurement is given in Figure 5. Fitting of these curves (for explicit fitting formulas, see Schanne-Klein et al.) yields the real and imaginary parts of the coefficients. These measurements only reveal the relative phase of the various coefficients and are therefore not quite sufficient to unambiguously separate the electric and the magnetic contributions in the surface SHG signal. However, achiral contributions are generally electric-dipolar, such that the phase of the achiral coefficients, e.g., $f_s$, $g_s$, $h_s$, can serve as a reference. It is then possible to separate the electric from the magnetic contributions in the chiral coefficients: those in phase with the achiral coefficients are electric dipolar, and those in quadrature correspond to the magnetic...
terms. The phase relationship is expected to hold even on resonance, provided the electric- and magnetic-dipolar transitions involve the same energy levels.

**Molecular origin of chiral SHG.** The question whether magnetic (nonlocal) contributions to surface SHG are measurable, arose early on. Byers et al. showed that their results on 1,1′-bi-2-naphthol could be fully explained within the electric-dipole approximation, whereas Kauranen et al. showed that magnetic terms were essential to account for their measurements on a polymer (poly-isocyanide) film. The presence of magnetic contributions was also clearly observed in a SHG study of chiral polythiophene, where a nonzero $g_s$ was measured. This finding was confirmed by Schanne-Klein et al., who also measured a nonzero $g_s$ in a pentamethinium salt.

These findings do not contradict each other if one considers the molecular basis for optical activity in these molecules and its implications for second-order nonlinear optics. Two principal mechanisms are responsible for a chiral molecule's optical activity: (i) a one-electron mechanism in which the electric and the magnetic transitions are mixed on the same chromophore due to its asymmetric environment; and (ii) a coupled-oscillator mechanism, in which two electric-dipole-allowed transitions belonging to two separate chromophores in the molecule are asymmetrically coupled. Hache et al. have used a classical model to calculate surface SHG signals and have shown that the dominant chiral surface susceptibilities have a magnetic origin for molecules that exhibit “one-electron optical activity,” whereas for molecules with “coupled-oscillator optical activity” the electric contributions dominate. These calculations also explain the experimental findings: 1,1′-bi-2-naphthol, studied by Hicks et al., shows coupled-oscillator chirality, and it is therefore not necessary to consider nonlocal (magnetic) contributions to SHG. This has been confirmed by Fischer et al., who used SFG to discriminate between the nonlocal and the local response and have shown that the latter clearly dominates in 1,1′-bi-2-naphthol. Nonlocal susceptibilities are, however, essential in order to explain the results obtained with the molecules studied by Kauranen et al. and Schanne-Klein et al. which pertain to the one-electron case. Interestingly, further conclusions can be drawn from the model calculations (see Table 2). When examining the signal obtained in surface SHG experiments, one can see that SHG-ORD and SHG-LD will give a specific chiral signal only if the second-order susceptibility is electric-dipolar, and these two experimental configurations are thus not sufficient to unambiguously detect the presence of chiral molecules on a surface. SHG-CD gives a chiral signal irrespective of the mechanism. The mecha-

**TABLE 2. Second-order nonlinear optical response modeled by a one-electron mechanism (represented by a helix) and a coupled-oscillator mechanism (represented by two anharmonic oscillators)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Relevant parameters</th>
<th>Expected effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Helix" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Helix" /></td>
<td>$f_p$, $g_p$, and $h_s$ (achiral) = electric dipolar</td>
<td>SHG-CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Helix" /></td>
<td>$f_s$, $g_s$, and $h_p$ (chiral) = magnetic dipolar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Oscillator" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Oscillator" /></td>
<td>$f_p$, $g_p$, and $h_s$ (achiral) = electric dipolar + electric quadrupolar + magnetic dipolar</td>
<td>SHG-CD +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Oscillator" /></td>
<td>$f_s$, $g_s$, and $h_p$ (chiral) = electric dipolar</td>
<td>SHG-LD, SHG-ORD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respective examples are from experiments on a chiral stilbene and a Tröger’s base.
nism of a chiral molecule’s optical activity may therefore be determined by examining both SHG-ORD and SHG-LD experiments. This is not possible in linear optics, which demonstrates the potential of nonlinear optical spectroscopies to distinguish between “one-electron” and “coupled-oscillator” chirality. The mechanism of optical activity in an acridine-substituted Tröger’s base have been determined with SHG measurements (see Fig. 6). A large rotation of the SHG polarization has been recorded for the Tröger’s base, whereas no rotation could be observed in the chiral stilbene. Hache et al. report a SHG rotation of 64° in the Tröger’s base, which is the largest rotation observed to date in a surface SHG experiment. It is instructive to compare SHG-ORD with conventional, linear optical rotatory dispersion (ORD). The chiral surface SHG signals originate from a thin film of chiral molecules. The same number of molecules would give rise to an ORD angle that is far below the limit of detection. We estimate that the rotation measured in the film is 6 orders of magnitude larger in the surface SHG experiment than it would be in conventional ORD. This demonstrates the excellent sensitivity of surface SHG to detect chiral molecules.

All of the above-mentioned capabilities of surface SHG measurements on chiral molecules require that the surface be symmetric with respect to the surface normal. Should the distribution of molecules be anisotropic within the surface plane (i.e., not C∞v), then circular and linear intensity differences can also be observed from achiral molecules, as has been shown by Verbiest and colleagues. Electric-dipole-allowed SHG (and SFG) from achiral molecules—that are arranged such that the surface is chiral—have been studied. A careful tensor analysis of the response from anisotropic chiral films has shown that it is nevertheless possible to separate the effects due to anisotropy from those due to chiral molecules.

The molecular aspects of chiral second-order nonlinear optical spectroscopies (SHG and SFG) have also been discussed in a recent mini-review by Simpson.

**Sum-frequency generation from chiral surfaces.** Surface second-order optical measurements are not restricted to SHG. Sum-frequency generation (SFG) is equally versatile. It has the advantage that the incident frequencies can be tuned independently. Shen and co-workers measured the SFG spectrum of a monolayer of BN on water between 310 and 360 nm with a laser beam at 1.06 μm and another beam tunable from 450 to 550 nm. The sum frequency was resonant with the lowest-lying absorption of BN with rich spectral content, and the polarization of the SFG provided additional information on the molecules’ orientation. Should one of the lasers be tunable in the infrared, then vibrational sum-frequency spectra can be recorded. Large enhancements of the chiral response have been reported in recent doubly-resonant experiments. These have allowed the vibrational sum-frequency spectrum of a BN monolayer to be recorded.

**Applications of chiral surface spectroscopies: nonlinear optics and proteins.** Apart from the fundamental questions addressing the molecular mechanism that underlie surface SHG in a number of chiral molecules, several applications of surface SHG have recently been reported.

Taking advantage of the fact that the second-order susceptibility changes sign with the opposite enantiomer of a chiral molecule, Busson et al. have demonstrated quasi-phase matching in a structure composed of alternating stacks of helicenebisquinone. Interesting applications may arise when chiral molecules are used in materials for nonlinear optical frequency conversion. Research in this direction is pursued in a number of laboratories.

Chiral-surface SHG has also been observed from molecules of biological interest. A measurement on a dipeptide (tert-butyloxycarbonyl-tryptophan-tryptophan) at the air/water interface has been reported. SHG-CD and SHG-ORD measurements revealed the handedness of the dipeptide conformation. The handedness was assigned to a twisted conformation of the two tryptophans. SHG-CD has also been used, together with a surface-enhanced resonance Raman study, to monitor the oxidation state of...
cytochrome c adsorbed on model membranes surfaces.\textsuperscript{72} SHG-CD was shown to be a particularly sensitive probe of the redox state of the heme group, which is known to be essential for the electron transfer process that occurs in cytochrome c. Simpson and co-workers used chiral SHG experiments to observe the dynamics of protein binding (bovine serum albumin) to a surface.\textsuperscript{73} Their experimental results are shown in Figure 7. Recently, chiral SHG signals from the peptide melittin allowed Conboy et al. to detect its binding to a lipid bilayer.\textsuperscript{53} The same group has also developed a chirality-specific form of SHG microscopy which used a counterpropagating geometry\textsuperscript{53} to image a patterned surface.\textsuperscript{74}

THIRD-ORDER NONLINEAR CHIROPTICAL EFFECTS IN A LIQUID

Thus far we have discussed nonlinear optical effects which are quadratic in the optical fields and which give rise to the generation of light at a new frequency that is different from that of the incident laser. We now turn to those nonlinear optical effects that can modify the optical properties of an optically active liquid. As shown in the theoretical background material, this may occur at third order, where the optical response depends on the cube of the optical fields and has a component that oscillates at the frequency of the laser. The so-called “optical Kerr effect” occurs in any medium and gives rise to a nonlinear contribution to the refractive index. It depends on the (achiral) hyperpolarizability $\gamma$ (cf. eq. (15)), and we can write the corresponding macroscopic polarization as

$$P(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 X_{eeee}(\omega - \omega + \omega) |E(\omega)|^2 E(\omega),$$

where we have indicated that the third-order susceptibility is electric-dipolar, and where we have given its frequency argument $\omega - \omega + \omega$ (which is $= \omega$). Apart from the intensity-dependent refractive index (eq. (17)), many important nonlinear optical phenomena, including self-focusing, self-phase modulation, and two-photon absorption, are directly related to the susceptibility in eq. (38).\textsuperscript{3}

Fig. 7. Chiral-specific approach for label-free measurements of bovine serum albumin (BSA) binding using a rhodamine dye as a local probe for interfacial chirality. In the absence of the protein, the SHG generated at the interface was suppressed by passing the beam through an appropriately oriented quarter-wave plate (QWP)/half-wave plate (HWP)/polarizer (Pol) combination (a). Upon exposure to an aqueous protein solution, the change in the polarization state of the SHG (presumably, through changes in the orientation distribution of the rhodamine dye) resulted in an SHG signal scaling with the square of the surface protein density (b). Solid lines in (b) are fits of the data to exponential rises to maxima. In the upper curve (corresponding to s-polarized detection with a p-polarized incident beam), the polarization combination used exclusively probes the chiral-specific SHG response of the interface. (Figure provided by Prof. G.J. Simpson, see also reference 73).
Laser beams with two different frequency components can also interact in a medium via a third-order susceptibility and give rise to frequency conversion processes, or modify each other’s refractive index, e.g., $\omega_1 - \omega_2 + \omega_2$. The latter also describes pump–probe experiments, where the fields at $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are short pulses that are incident with variable time delays in order to observe any effect resulting from the induced polarization as a function of the time between the pulses.

We now discuss how the optical Kerr effect and all the related achiral nonlinear optical phenomena may become specific to chiral molecules.

**Nonlinear optical activity.** Chiroptical effects at third-order have first been discussed by Akhmanov and Barron in the early years of nonlinear optics, and aspects of the theory have since been developed further. Optical activity arises in the nonlinear response if one goes beyond the electric dipole approximation in eq. (38). Instead of the electric-dipolar susceptibility $\chi^{(3)}_{eed}$, one needs to consider nonlocal susceptibilities that contain a magnetic-dipolar or electric-quadrupolar transition in place of an electric-dipole transition ($e$), such as $\chi^{(3)}_{eed} (\omega, \omega, \omega)$ and similar expressions for the electric-quadrupolar susceptibilities. A rigorous introduction of these susceptibilities and a discussion of their properties can be found in the book by Svirko and Zheludev. From the phenomenological discussion in the introductory material, it follows that one expects nonlinear optical activity effects in refraction and absorption according to eq. (18)

$$n^\pm \approx (n_0 + n_2 I) \pm (g_0 + g_2 I),$$

where the nonlinear optical activity index, $g_2$, gives rise to nonlinear optical rotatory dispersion (NLORD) and nonlinear circular dichroism (NLCD), respectively.

There are only two experimental studies of NLORD to date: a light-induced optical rotation due to thermal effects, and a nonlinear optical rotation study on uridine and sucrose by Cameron and Tabisz. The first experimental evidence of NLCD has recently been obtained by Mesnil and Hache from solutions of ruthenium(II) tris(bipyridyl) (RuTB), where, in agreement with theoretical predictions, an intensity-dependent contribution to the circular dichroism spectrum has been observed. As required, the NLCD spectrum changed sign with the enantiomer and vanished for the racemic mixture. Extension of these measurements to a pump–probe configuration has recently been reported. Two independently tunable lasers have been used to simultaneously acquire both linear and nonlinear CD (and absorption) spectra of RuTB (see Fig. 8), demonstrating the potential of this technique for spectroscopic applications.

Nonlinear optical activity is expected to be widely used in the future, as exemplified by a recent theoretical study of two-dimensional circularly polarized pump–probe spectroscopy.

Nonlinear chiroptical properties could yield applications for signal processing. One study reported experiments on an optical switch based on light-induced isomerization. Recently, use of nonlinear chiral photonic bandgap structures for all-optical switching was proposed.

**Investigation of biomolecules by time-resolved chiroptical techniques.** In one form of pump–probe experiments, an intense laser pulse is used to bring molecules into an excited (electronic or vibrational) state. Evolution of the excited molecules is then monitored by a second, weaker pulse which probes the state of the molecules. Most often, it is the absorption of the probe which gives the relevant information. Measurements are carried out as a function of the time delay between the two pulses, and the time resolution is a function of the pulse duration. This popular technique permits resolution of processes at ultrafast time scales. Extension of these techniques to...
chiral molecules and more specifically to biomolecules is indeed appealing because it allows one to probe dynamic stereochemical properties. Optical activity is often a measure of global structure in biomolecules and can therefore provide information that is not accessible to other pump-probe techniques.

The most advanced applications of chiral pump-probe spectroscopy concern time-resolved circular dichroism studies which have been used to follow the structural dynamics of protein conformation. Pump-induced linear CD measurements (i.e., linear optical activity and not NLCD) have been reported of the photolysis of carbonmonoxyhemoglobin at nanosecond and picosecond time scales, and recently sub-100-picosecond CD dynamics has been observed in the same protein. The observed conformational changes upon photolysis of CO from myoglobin have been interpreted with the help of CD calculations based on a classical polarizability theory.

Given the numerous applications of electronic CD spectroscopy for secondary structure determination of proteins, it is expected that time-resolved CD will play an important role in the elucidation of ultrafast protein folding and structural dynamics.

**Coherent Raman optical activity.** Nonlinear extensions of natural optical activity phenomena are not restricted to optical rotation and circular dichroism. Nonlinear optical analogs of Raman optical activity (ROA) have also been considered and their theory has been discussed. ROA measures a small difference in the vibrational Raman spectrum for left- and right-circularly polarized incident light. Although Raman optical activity is now routinely used to study chiral (bio)molecules in aqueous solution, it is an incoherent spectroscopy and the signals are thus weak. Spontaneous Raman scattering can easily be masked by light emitted from competing processes such as fluorescence. Nonlinear Raman spectroscopies could be of value in the study of vibrational optical activity, as they make it possible to probe Raman resonances through coherent rather than incoherent scattering. The signal strength in nonlinear Raman spectroscopies, such as coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS) and Raman-induced Kerr effect spectroscopy (RIKES), is therefore typically at least 3 orders of magnitude larger than in spontaneous Raman scattering. Nonlinear Raman spectroscopy should make it possible to eliminate interference from fluorescence, and to obtain previously unavailable temporal information about a molecule’s vibrations. Nonlinear Raman optical activity is described by nonlocal third-order susceptibilities of the form \( \chi^{\text{eeem}} (\omega_1 - \omega_2 + \omega_3) \). In CARS, the laser at \( \omega_2 \) is tuned, and when the difference in angular frequencies \( \omega_1 - \omega_3 \) is proportional to a vibrational energy \( \hbar \omega_{\text{vib}} \), a resonantly enhanced signal is observed at \( \omega_1 + \omega_3 \). To our knowledge, there is only one report of a successful nonlinear Raman optical activity measurement from a chiral liquid to date. Spiegel and Schneider have observed Raman optical activity with CARS in a liquid of (+)-trans-pinane and report chiral signals that are \( 10^{-3} \) of the conventional electric-dipolar CARS intensity. They used a band-shape analysis of three ROA bands between 750 and 870 cm\(^{-1} \) in the CARS spectrum and report nonlinear ROA spectra in excellent agreement with theory. The nonlinear ROA effects are predicted to be much larger near electronic resonance.

**CHIRAL SPECTROSCOPIES AT FOURTH-ORDER**

Similar to linear optical activity, the spectroscopies at third-order are characterized by signals that are primarily achiral and, in the case of optically active liquids, may have an additional, small chirality-specific contribution. The latter is observed as an intensity difference signal that requires accurate polarization modulation or the measurement of small angles of rotation. This is in contrast to sum-frequency generation at second order, which is electric-dipolar and is essentially background-free. Extension of third-order processes in optically active liquids to fourth-order, as first proposed by Koroteev, would again allow for the observation of a background-free, electric-dipolar chiral signal. Although \( \chi^{(4)} \) is in general much weaker than \( \chi^{(2)} \), a fourth-order process would have the distinct advantage that it can be realized in a phase-matched geometry. The nonlinear polarization of a fourth-order process has a quartic dependence on the electric fields and is—in a liquid—given by

\[
\overline{P} (3\omega_1 - \omega_2) = \varepsilon_0 \chi^{(4)} (3\omega_1 - \omega_2) \\
\quad \times (\overline{E}(\omega_1) \times \overline{E}(\omega_2))(\overline{E}(\omega_1) \cdot \overline{E}(\omega_2)),
\]

for the incident waves at \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \). There is an experimental report of such a process in liquids. Using two noncollinear frequency-degenerate beams (\( \omega_1 = \omega_2 \)), Shkurinov et al. report the observation of weak signals from concentrated aqueous solutions of \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-arabinose that exhibited polarization characteristics as predicted by eq. \((39)\). We note that recent attempts to reproduce earlier reports of SFG from arabinose have not been successful and have shown that the \( \chi^{(2)} \) of arabinose is much weaker than previously thought.

A new coherent chiral Raman spectroscopy, that arises when \( 3\omega_1 - \omega_2 \) in eq. \((39)\) is equal to the angular frequency of a vibration, has been proposed by Koroteev who gave it the acronym “BioCARS” to indicate that this spectroscopy would constitute an extension of CARS to chirality, and hence biology. BioCARS would exclusively probe chiral Raman vibrations that are simultaneously Raman and hyper-Raman active. The spectroscopy does not require the use of circularly polarized light to detect chirality and would allow for a complete rejection of fluorescence, but it could not distinguish between optical isomers. BioCARS has not yet been observed. Apart from the inherent weakness of a nonlinear optical susceptibility responsible for BioCARS, fourth-order processes (and SFG) in liquids are weak as they require a noncollinear beam geometry (due to the vector cross product in eqs.
(22) and (39)) which further reduces the interaction length. The use of a waveguide, as suggested by Zheltikov et al., may constitute a promising alternative as it would allow for a collinear beam geometry and therefore a more efficient implementation of BioCARS.105

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The principles of nonlinear optical probes of chiral molecules in solution and on surfaces have been reviewed, and several selected applications of the various spectroscopies have been presented. Whereas a few of the nonlinear optical processes discussed in this review have not yet, or only recently, been observed, the majority of nonlinear spectroscopies that can be specific to chiral molecules, including second-harmonic generation, sum-frequency generation, and, to some extent, nonlinear optical activity, are now well understood. The nonlinear techniques are not as general and efficient as conventional linear optical activity measurements—they require pulsed lasers and often some form of resonance enhancement—but nonlinear processes can reveal aspects of molecular chirality not accessible to linear optics. We have shown that nonlinear optics can be more sensitive than conventional optical activity probes. A monolayer of chiral molecules may for instance be studied by second-harmonic and sum-frequency generation at surfaces with little contribution from the bulk of the liquid. The corresponding chiral nonlinear optical observables can be many orders of magnitude larger than they would be in typical linear optical ORD or CD experiments. Second-order processes can also be used to experimentally determine whether a molecule’s optical activity is primarily due to a one-electron or due to a coupled-oscillator mechanism. Nonlinear optical activity measures intensity-dependent contributions to optical rotation and circular dichroism and makes it possible to study the conformation of chiral molecules at ultrafast time scales. Some nonlinear optical phenomena, such as sum-frequency generation (and BioCARS) in optically active liquids, have no direct analogue in linear optics. They arise in the absence of magnetic-dipole transitions and without any background signals, so that the frequency conversion in itself is a measure of a solution’s enantiomeric excess.

Novel applications of nonlinear chiral spectroscopies to the study of biological interfaces, the determination of absolute configuration, and protein dynamics have recently been reported, and we expect that nonlinear optical spectroscopies will become important tools in the investigation of molecular chirality.

Note added in proof: The following papers have appeared after completion of this review: A paper by Wang et al. that reports the observation of chiral vibrational SFG spectra of proteins at the solid/liquid interface away from electronic resonance.106 A SHG study of polypeptide alpha-helices at the air water interface.107 A theoretical paper that examines chiral third-order spectroscopies.108

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. G.J. Simpson for providing Figure 7. P.F. is grateful for a Junior Research Fellowship from the Rowland Institute at Harvard.

LITERATURE CITED


