New Pd(II) hemichelates devoid of incipient bridging CO⋯Pd interactions†
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In organometallic chemistry the commonly known Sidgwick–Langmuir 18 electron rule is constantly being probed for further discovery of molecular compounds that arise as exceptions. The present study examines the formation and the structure of three novel hemichelates of Pd(II) derived from the reaction of in situ-formed indene and hydrophenanthrene-based organometallic anions with three different μ-chloro-bridged palladacycles. Electronic structure and interaction behavior have been calculated with methods of the density functional theory at the (ZORA) MetaGGA-D TPSS-D3(BJ), GGA-D PBE-D3(BJ), and hybrid PBE0-dDsC dispersion corrected levels, all with the implementation of all electron triple zeta single polarization basis set. A particular focus of the theoretical investigation was made on the nature of the interaction between the [Cr(CO)3] moiety and the Pd(II) centers, which according to X-ray diffraction analyses lack significant incipient bridging CO⋯Pd character. Structures were further assessed – Natural Bonding Orbitals (NBO), Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules (QTAIM), and Extended Transition State analyses lack significant incipient bridging CO⋯Pd character. Structures were further assessed – Natural Bonding Orbitals (NBO), Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules (QTAIM), and Extended Transition State

Introduction

The Sidgwick–Langmuir 18 electron rule1 is a general scheme for the stability of complexes involving a transition metal center.1,2 A significant amount of exceptions to the Sidgwick–Langmuir 18 electron rule manifest themselves in various ways that still fuel sustained fundamental research on the pertinence3–9 of this empirical extension of the so-called octet rule.10–12 The current introduction of the concept of hemichelation13–15 has opened up the doors for transition metal complexes to be observed in a manner in which forces beyond the covalent interactions are significantly contributing to the stability of their apparently 18-electron rule infringing structures.16 Loosely defined, hemichelation13–15 is the particular way in which ligands interact with a transition metal via one coordinative interaction and one non-covalent interaction. The interest in this particular mode of stabilization can be attributed to the observed persistent and air/moisture stable complexes that contain electron deficient centers.13–15,17–22 The stability of the presented complexes results in some non-conventional coordination modes of transition metal complexes. Experimental and computational data are presented to describe the structure of these molecules with special attention to the non-covalent contributions to stability. More importantly, it is proposed therein that the weak incipient Cr–CO⋯Pd interaction of the donor–acceptor (dative) character15,21 observed in the previous experimental structures of
Results and discussion

Previous reports have demonstrated the validity of the concept of hemicellation in that a large series of formally 14 electron unsaturated Pd(II),13,21 Pd(I),15 Pt(II),13 Rh(I),14 and 16 electron Mn(I)18–20 and Re(I)18–20 complexes containing various ligands could be synthesized in a chemically stable form. In the case of Pd and Pt complexes, a rather important number of X-ray diffraction analyses indicated the existence of incipient CO–M interactions with C–M distances matching the expectation for a semibridging CO situation,23–29 a widely documented interaction often encountered in electron unsaturated polymetal carbonyl complexes that is believed to substantially contribute to molecular cohesion.30,31

In our previous reports, Extended Transition State-Natural Orbitals for Chemical Valence (ETS-NOCV)32,33 analyses indicated in most investigated cases that such an incipient interaction had a donor–acceptor character allowing electron density transfer from the Cr(CO)3 moiety towards the M center. It was also shown that this [Cr(CO)3] → Pd interaction was compensated by Pd → Cr back donation through the arene ligand, therefore relativising the weight of such a dative bond in the overall interaction scheme implying direct [Cr(CO)3]–Pd interactions of covalent and non-covalent nature. Taking into consideration the in solution behaviour of Pd and Pt hemicelles we further argued that such a weak dative interaction was certainly unimportant to the molecular cohesion because the Cr(CO)3 moiety could still rotate causing the periodic disruption/construction of the [Cr(CO)3] → Pd interaction. In the crystal state, the Cr(CO)3 rotor is static and the observed structures displaying the Cr–CO⋯Pd interaction can be considered as minima of energy within the context of the crystal-state whereby the crystal lattice is known to exert a great influence over intramolecular geometries.34 Quite interestingly, in our previous studies dealing with Pd(II and I)13,15,21 and Pt(II)13 hemicelles, most gas-phase optimized geometries reproduced this incipient semi-bridging CO situation, which was characterized by a marked covalent through in the NCI regions plots.35,36 In contrast, none of the reported Rh(I) hemicelles displayed such an incipient semi-bridging CO situation. The quest for cases of Pd(II) hemicelles where such an interaction would not be encountered in the crystal state was therefore initiated. Our synthetic effort lead to three new complexes 1c–3c (Fig. 1) synthesized from 2-methylindene and di-hydroanthracene derivatives, i.e. 1a–3a, by the sequential deprotonation of the benzylic position and the trapping of the organolithium intermediate by different palladacycles, i.e. (R)-1b, 2b, 3b. It is worth noting that 2a consisted of a mixture of homo- and hetero-chiral (meso) diastereomers, of which only the hetero-chiral one afforded a stable product, i.e. 2c (17% yield based on the admixture of homo- and hetero-chiral 2a).

With the exception of 3c, which escaped thorough analytical investigations due to unexpected high reactivity, the other hemicelle and double hemicelle 1c and 2c respectively were found stable and rather persistent in solution in aprotic solvents. Both 1c and 2c displayed IR features consistent with the powder-ATR-FT-IR spectra reported for similar hemicelles of palladacycles.13 The IR signature was characterized by three bands at ca. 1930, 1865 and 1840 cm⁻¹ that were assigned, according to the DFT calculations, to one symmetric (A) and two asymmetric stretching modes for the CO ligands of the Cr(CO)3 moieties. Solution 13C NMR spectra of 1c and 2c at room temperature indicated three distinct broad resonances, 239.5, 237.3 and 235.2 ppm for 1c and 238.7, 236.4 and 232.7 ppm for 2c originating from the Cr(CO)3 moiety, which within the time scale of the 13C NMR (125 MHz) can be interpreted like a symptom of slowed rotation of the latter rotor.17

The 1H NMR spectra of 1c and 2c did not reveal obvious signs of possible “palladotropism”, that is the fast 1–3 migration of the palladacyclic unit from one benzylic position at C1 to its equivalent at C3. This observation is consistent with the observations made for similar hemicelles of
palladacycles. Owing to the low value of the barrier of activation of such “palladotropism”, i.e. \( \Delta G^\ddagger \) (298 K) < 10 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) \(^{13,21}\) \( ^1 \)H NMR (600 MHz) spectroscopy was unable to sense the dynamic exchange within 1c even at low temperatures. Compound 1c did not crystallize as a 1:1 mixture of two diastereomers like compound 4 (Fig. 2), \(^{13}\) but as a single diastereomer of absolute \((pR,1R)\) configuration \( [\alpha]_D \) (298 K) = −267.3 in a non-centrosymmetric space group (vide infra, Fig. 3 and Table 4). Upon protonation of 1c with acetic acid at 20 °C (eqn (1)), planar chiral 1a was recovered in scalemic (non-racemic) form with a specific rotation \([\alpha]_D \) (20°C) of −11.5 expressing a slight predominance of \((pR)\)-1a (ee < 10) according to the previous results\(^{13}\) obtained for the protonation of 4. This suggests that at room temperature in solution 1c exists as a fast exchanging non-equimolar mixture of two diastereomers, namely \((pS,1R)\)-1c and \((pR,1R)\)-1c. Furthermore, TD-DFT simulation of the gas-phase CD spectrum of \((pS,1R)\)-1c using the statistical average of orbital model exchange-correlation potential (ZORA-SAOP\(^{17,18}\)/all electron TZ2P) produced an excellent match to the experimental CD spectrum recorded in benzene at 20 °C (cf. ES1), which displays two characteristic Cotton effects at around 480 (positive) and 400 (negative) nm. This suggests that \((pS,1R)\)-1c might be the dominating diastereomer in solution. The situation of 2c is different as the two benzylic positions are inequivalent; the steric strain produced by the bridging ethylenyl substituent that occupies one benzylic position at each indenyl fragment may indeed prevent “palladotropism”.

\[
(pR)-1c \xrightarrow{\text{AcOH, 20°C}} (pS)-1c
\]

1a

\([\alpha]_D \) (20°C) < 0

**Structural characterization of 1c–3c**

Expectedly, in the three structures displayed in Fig. 3 the Cr (CO)\(_3\) tripod is anti-eclipsed with respect to the benzylic position that bears the palladacyclic unit. In comparison with the previously reported structures of Pd(II) and Pd(I) hemichelates\(^{13,15,21}\), the distance separating carbonyl carbon atoms from Pd (cf. Pd–CO, Table 1) is by 0.15–0.20 Å longer in 1c–3c. This geometrical feature is consistent with the Cr–Pd distances that span 2.92–2.88 Å like that observed in the previously reported Pd(II) hemichelates containing palladacycles.\(^{13}\)

![Fig. 2](image)

In solution, complex 4 displayed a dynamic behavior consisting of a fast migration of the palladacyclic unit.\(^{15}\)

![Fig. 3](image)

**ORTEP-type drawings of the structures of \((pS, 1R)\)-1c (a), 2c (b) and 3c (c) with partial atom numbering. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Selected interatomic angles for 1c (°): C15–Pd1–C3 90.63(11), C3–Pd1–N1 79.85(10), C26–Cr1–C27 99.68(13), C15–Pd1–N1 170.46(10). Selected interatomic angles for 2c (°): C10–Pd1–C1 90.51(9), C20–Cr1–C21 98.05(10), C10–Pd1–N1 171.90(8). Selected interatomic angles for 3c (°): C11–Pd1–C10 88.7(4), C11–Pd1–N1 170.1(3), C26–Cr–C25 97.7(5), C10–Pd1–N1 82.3(4). See Table 1 for selected distances.**

**Theoretical analysis of hemichelates 1c–3c**

**Geometry optimization.** Molecular geometries were optimized at three separate levels of theory; TPSS-D3(BJ), PBE-D3 (BJ), and PBE0-D3S(C), using the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) software package.\(^{39,40}\) As denoted, dispersion-corrected functionals were used to address the dispersion-devoid (ZORA) PBE/all electron TZP level of theory.\(^{39,40}\) To convey the accuracy of the geometry optimization, distances between the palladium center and the surrounding atoms at the three levels of theory are listed in Table 1. The atomic distances are all within 0.06 Å of one another.

The most critical distances around the Pd(II) centers (Fig. 4) match reasonably well the experimental values determined by X-ray diffraction analysis (Table 1). The dispersion corrected hybrid PBE0 and the metaGGA TPSS functionals produce Pd–Cr distances by ca. 0.15 Å shorter than in the XRD structures as previously observed.\(^{13–15,21}\) It must be noted that the dispersion-devoid (ZORA) PBE/all electron TZP level of theory produced significant discrepancies with the X-ray diffraction data that can be related to unaccounted non-local attractive interactions.\(^{21}\) For a model of 1c, computed distances Cr–Pd, Pd–Cr\(_{\text{benz}}\), Pd–C and Pd–N were found to be longer than in the experimental structure by 0.05, 0.02, 0.02 and 0.04 Å.
Theory of Atoms in Molecules (QTAIM) was performed to outline in Bader’s QTAIM conditions for bonding, a bond critical point must be observed along the atomic interaction line or bond path between the centers for significant covalent contribution to be considered. However, in all three complexes interactions between the closest carbonyl ligand carbon atom of the Cr(CO)$_3$ fragment with the palladium center were observed to have a BCP(3,−1). The values of interest at the BCPs, ρ (electron density) and its Laplacian $-\nabla^2 \rho$, are listed in Table 2 along with the other relevant Pd–Cr distances by only ca. 0.1 Å shorter than in the X-ray diffraction structure. It will be shown thereafter that this shortening does not bias the Pd–CO interaction sufficiently to lead to a bonding donor–acceptor interaction, though. Furthermore, it is shown below that the slightly shorter distances arising from computation do not lead to an overwhelming exaggeration of the role of covalent interactions.

**Computational analysis.** The relatively low values of the Cr–Pd Wiberg indices, ca. 0.10, immediately argue against a strong contribution of covalence in any of the three compounds. Such magnitudes of Wiberg indices are usually attributed to the non-covalent van der Waals interactions between elements. The Pd–C$_{benz}$ bonds show Wiberg indices of ~0.35–0.37.

While the Wiberg indices are used sparingly as mere indications of the bond order further analysis by Bader’s Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules (QTAIM) was performed to provide more clarity to the nature of these interactions. To further characterize the interaction between Cr–Pd segments as a result of non-covalent interactions, in all three complexes the absence of the bond critical point (BCP) was observed. As outlined in Bader’s QTAIM conditions for bonding, a bond critical point must be observed along the atomic interaction line or bond path between the centers for significant covalent contribution to be considered. However, in all three complexes interactions between the closest carbonyl ligand carbon atom of the Cr(CO)$_3$ fragment with the palladium center were observed to have a BCP(3,−1). The values of interest at the BCPs, ρ (electron density) and its Laplacian $-\nabla^2 \rho$, are listed in Table 2 along with the other relevant Pd–X BCPs of complexes 1c and 3c. Relatively small values of $-\nabla^2 \rho$ at bond critical points between the Pd and Cr(CO)$_3$ carbonyl carbons characterize weak close shell interactions.

### Table 2 QTAIM analysis of bond critical points (3,−1) in complexes 1c and 3c with the hybrid (ZORA) PBE0-dDsc/all electron TZP level of theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ρ (a.u.)</th>
<th>$-\nabla^2 \rho$ (a.u.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Pd–C$_{benz}$</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd–C</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd–N</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd–CO</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd–CO</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>Pd–C$_{benz}$</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd–C</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd–N</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd–CO</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Associated atom numbering in Fig. 3. * Basis set: *ad hoc* ZORA all electron TZP.
Yang’s non-covalent interaction (NCI) region analysis\(^{35,36}\) was also conducted for complexes 1c and 3c (see Fig. 5); because of the similarity of the results between 1c and 2c the graphs of the latter have been omitted from consideration. NCI plots are computed on the basis of a threshold value \(s\) which is determined by contributions of the Hessian matrix for the system. This threshold represents the value at which interactions are considered non-covalent or covalent and is inherent to the system. Red-colored regions in the isosurface plot are representative of attractive non-covalent interactions while denoted blue regions are said to be non-bonded or repulsive (Pauli) interactions. In the NCI plots (Fig. 5) there is a build up of an attractive isosurface for all three structures in the space between the two metals as well as between the chromium and the aromatic carbons, showing the contribution of attractive NCI between the Pd–Cr segment and the Cr-aromatic segment. The blue interactions shown are primarily in the space between the two metals as well as between the chromium and the aromatic carbons, showing the contribution of attractive NCI between the Pd–Cr segment and the Cr-aromatic segment. The blue interactions shown are primarily in the spaces around the carbonyl structures protruding from the chromium center. Also to be noted are the outer blue regions which are typically depicting the Pauli repulsion interactions arising from steric repulsion. The absence of any strong Pd–CO interaction is clearly discernable from the lack of so-called “covalent throughs”\(^{47}\) within the attractive NCI isosurfaces separating the carbonyl’s carbons and the Pd center.

Yielding additional perspective, NBO\(^{45,46}\) analysis was performed to provide insight in the form of orbital interactions as opposed to the aforementioned electron density scheme of QTAIM. It is important to note that the mechanism which NBO uses to operate will omit any Lewis hybridization states that do not reach the minimum electron occupancy of 1.5 electrons.\(^{45,46}\) Thus NBO analysis will be presented with the knowledge that highly diffuse interactions, common in bulky transition metal complexes, are not handled completely due to the preset NBO threshold occupancy. NBO was unable to resolve any information about the Cr(CO)\(_3\) fragment orbital interactions with the palladium center in all three complexes. Of particular significance is the orbital effect caused by a 3-center interaction observed in the case of complex 3c. This 3-center interaction, between the ipso aromatic carbon, its immediate benzylic carbon neighbour and the Pd center, had an occupancy of 1.95 electrons and the hybrid nature written in the form of a linear combination of natural atomic orbitals

\[
\psi_{\text{center}} = 0.817(\text{sp}^{10.5})_{\text{Cr}} + 0.490(\text{sp}^{0.4} \text{d}^{0.2})_{\text{Cr}} + 0.303(\text{sp}^{d^{0.1}})_{\text{Pd}}
\]

which suggests that the palladium center not only interacts with the benzylic position but also with the adjacent ipso aromatic one. This peculiar 3-center interaction can be seen as a consequence of the non-planarity of the hydroanthracenyl unit and of a slightly enhanced delocalisation of the p orbital at the benzylic carbon towards the Cr-bound arene, a situation that is not encountered in the NBO analysis of 1c.

Ziegler–Rauk Energy Decomposition Analysis\(^{47}\) (EDA) allows the fine partitioning of physical interactions between two arbitrarily chosen so-called prepared fragments; i.e. the interaction energy \(\Delta E_{\text{int}}\) that can be partitioned formally into Pauli repulsion \(\Delta E_{\text{Pauli}}\), orbital \(\Delta E_{\text{orb}}\), dispersion \(\Delta E_{\text{disp}}\) and electrostatic \(\Delta E_{\text{el}}\) attractive interactions. EDA was used here to analyze the bonding interaction between the Cr(CO)\(_3\) moiety and the arene ligand in 1c and 3c in order to evaluate the bonding contribution caused by the interaction with the palladacycle. To make the analysis more explicit this effort was also applied to 1a and 3a, used here as reference cases for which no major direct interaction exists between the benzylic hydrogens and the Cr(CO)\(_3\) moiety. We defined two other parameters \(\Delta \Delta E\) and \(\rho\) to characterize the variations of arene–Cr(CO)\(_3\) interaction energy terms upon replacement of the endo-H (X = H, Fig. 6) atom by a palladacycle (X = palladacycle, Fig. 6) in singlet ground state geometries; for 1c and 3c, X (Fig. 6) stands for the palladacyclic units. \(\Delta \Delta E\) gives the absolute variation in energy when going say from 1a (X = H, Fig. 6) to 1c (X = palladacycle, Fig. 6) and \(\rho\) gives the fraction of variation of either of the interaction energy terms with respect to a reference value (X = H, Fig. 6).

Table 3 displays the main values obtained for 1c and 3c. The first conclusion that can be drawn from these data is that in hemichelates the Pauli repulsion term arising from the proximity of the palladacycles to the Cr(CO)\(_3\) moiety is largely compensated by the sum of orbital, electrostatic and dispersion terms. The strongest attractive term in both cases is the electrostatic term, which increases by about 2 times more than the orbital (cf. \(\rho\) values in brackets, Table 3). The \(\rho\) value for the electrostatic term is higher for 3c and suggests that attractive electrostatic interactions increase by 62% in 3c with respect to 3a, whereas orbital interactions do increase also by only 26% (vs. 20% in 1c). This observation supports our

\[\psi_{\text{center}} = 0.817(\text{sp}^{10.5})_{\text{Cr}} + 0.490(\text{sp}^{0.4} \text{d}^{0.2})_{\text{Cr}} + 0.303(\text{sp}^{d^{0.1}})_{\text{Pd}}\]
continuous claim that attractive electrostatics dominate the stabilization of Pd(II) hemichelates.

The interfragment interaction scheme chosen in the EDA study was used to analyze the orbital interaction component using the ETS-NOCV method. ETS-NOCV is a method for describing the electron deformation density behavior and providing an energetic profile of the orbital interaction between the defined interacting fragments. The four highest deformation densities (cf. $\Delta \rho_1-4$, Fig. 7 and 8) were selected as contributing most significantly to the total orbital interaction energy and are displayed in Fig. 7 (1c) and 8 (3c). In the arene–Cr(CO)$_3$ interaction the greatest part of the interfragment orbital interaction energy arises from establishing the Cr–arene bonds. However, the more “energetic” orbital interaction giving rise to deformation density isosurface $\Delta \rho_1$ (Fig. 6 and 7) includes a residual direct charge density buildup towards the Pd center, which in the case of 1c and 3c essentially originates from the Cr. In both complexes this Cr-to-Pd donation entails electron density transfer from orbitals located at the Cr center toward the intermetallic space and orbitals located at the Pd. In 1c, $\Delta \rho_2$ and $\Delta \rho_3$ materialize the back-donation of the Pd mostly to Cr–arene bonds. In 3c, $\Delta \rho_3$ materializes the strongest back-donation of the Pd center towards Cr–arene bonds.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cmpd</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{int}$</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{Pauli}$ ($\gamma$)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{orb}$ ($\gamma$)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{disp}$ ($\gamma$)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{el}$ ($\gamma$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>+49 (0.31)</td>
<td>−25 (0.20)</td>
<td>−6 (1.00)</td>
<td>−46 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>+78 (0.48)</td>
<td>−34 (0.26)</td>
<td>−5 (0.84)</td>
<td>−62 (0.62)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6 Fragmentation scheme used in the energy decomposition analysis (EDA) with the definition of the parameters used in the comparative study of interaction energy terms.

Fig. 7 Selected ETS-NOCV deformation densities $\Delta \rho$ and the associated orbital interaction energy $\Delta E_{orb}$ (in kcal mol$^{-1}$) for the interaction of closed-shell prepared Cr(CO)$_3$ moieties and the palladated indenyl fragment of 1c in a singlet ground state gas phase geometry optimized at the ZORA-PBE-D3(BJ)/all electron TZP level. Red and blue-colored isosurfaces materialize regions where charge density depletion and build up occur respectively: electron density transfer operates from red-coloured areas to blue ones upon interaction. Deformation density isosurface contour was set to 0.005e bohr$^{-3}$.

Fig. 8 Selected ETS-NOCV deformation densities $\Delta \rho$ and the associated orbital interaction energy $\Delta E_{orb}$ (in kcal mol$^{-1}$) for the interaction of closed-shell prepared Cr(CO)$_3$ moieties and the palladated 9-hydroanthracenyl fragment of 3c in a singlet ground state gas phase geometry optimized at the ZORA-PBE-D3(BJ)/all electron TZP level. Red and blue-colored isosurfaces materialize regions where charge density depletion and build up occur respectively: electron density transfer operates from red-coloured areas to blue ones upon interaction. Deformation density isosurface contour was set to 0.005e bohr$^{-3}$.
Conclusions

The results disclosed herein clearly suggest that the establishment of a Cr–C(O)–Pd interaction is in no way a prerequisite for the non-covalent stabilization of the unsaturated palladium center. At present it is difficult to accurately define quantitatively the nature of the interaction between the two metal moieties and particularly the contribution of covalence, i.e. charge transfer (orbital interactions), which QTAIM and NCI analyses only qualitatively characterize as non-dominating. Comparative EDA analysis using 1a and 3a as reference cases provides a rough estimate of the enhancement of the orbital interaction energy term in 1c and 3c, the increase of which is much less than that of the electrostatic term. The enhancement of the electrostatic term can be related to the proximity of the electron deficient Pd centre positioned close by the electron rich Cr(CO)3 moiety. It is speculated that any increase of the covalent character of the [Cr(CO)3]–M interaction would result in the oxidation of the Cr0 center and the reduction of the M center thus leading to the formation of paramagnetic species, the stability of which is hardly predictable. To date such a situation was not encountered, except perhaps in one recently reported case15 that gave rise to a new example of a stable hemichelate of the Pd(i)–Pd(i) unit; all reported hemichelates display in general diamagnetic behaviour. It is not excluded though that exogenous physical perturbations, like exposure to light, may promote such charge transfer and open access to new chemical properties. Ongoing research is focussing on the chemical properties and reactivity of hemichelates, the results of which will be disclosed in due time.

Experimental

General

All experiments were carried out under a dry argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or in an argon-filled glovebox when necessary. n-Butyllithium was purchased from Aldrich Chem. Co as a 1.6 M solution in hexanes, hexacarboxynylchromium was purchased from ACR, 9,10-dihydroanthracene (99%) was purchased from Aldrich Chem. Co and 1,2-di(3-indenyl)ethane (98%) from Alfa Aesar. Complexes 1a,21 1b,48 2b,49 3a50 and 3b51 were prepared according to published synthetic procedures. Celite 545 was purchased from VWR Prolabo. Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (abbr. THF) and diethyl ether were distilled from purple solutions of Na/benzophenone under argon. All other solvents were distilled over sodium or CaH2 under argon. Deuterated solvents were dried over sodium or CaH2 and purified by trap-to-trap techniques, degassed by freeze–pump–thaw cycles and stored under argon. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker DPX 300, 400, Avance I 500 and Avance III 600 spectrometers. Chemical shifts (expressed in parts per million) were referenced against solvent peaks. Full NMR spectral assignments are provided in the ESI;† the atomic numbering scheme used for NMR assignments is detailed below. Infrared spectra of powdered amorphous samples were acquired with a Fourier transform-IR Bruker Alpha spectrometer using an ATR solid state sample cell. The circular dichroism spectrum of 1c in solution was recorded with a UV-visible JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter using a 20 °C Peltier-thermostatted quartz cell of 1 cm optical path.

Crystal structure resolution

Acquisition and processing parameters are displayed in Table 4. Reflections were collected with Nonius KappaCCD and APEX diffractometers equipped with an Oxford Cryosystem liquid N2 device, using Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The crystal-detector distance was 38 mm. The cell parameters were determined (APEX2 software62) from reflections taken from three sets of 12 frames, each at 10 s exposure. The structures were solved by direct methods using the program SHELXS-97.53 The refinement and all further calculations were carried out using SHELXL-97.54 The crystal structures acquired with the Nonius Kappa CCD were solved using SIR-9755 and refined with SHELXL-97.54 The refinement and all further calculations were carried out using SHELXL-97.54 The H-atoms were included in the calculated positions and treated as riding atoms using SHELXL default parameters. The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically, using weighted full-matrix least-squares on F2. A semi-empirical absorption correction was applied using SADABS in APEX2.52

Computational details

Computations were performed with methods of density functional theory, i.e. the PBE56 GGA, the meta-GGA TPSS57 and the hybrid PBE058,59 functionals implemented in the Amsterdam Density Functional package (ADF201360 version) and augmented with Grimme’s DFT-D3(BJ)60,61 implementation of dispersion with a Becke–Johnson (BJ) damping function (PBE-D3(BJ) and TPSS-D3(BJ)) and with Corminboeuf’s DdSc62 density dependent dispersion correction (PBE0-DdSc). Scalar relativistic corrections with the zeroth order regular approximation63–65 were applied with ad hoc all-electron (AE) basis sets consisting of polarized triple-ζ (TZP) Slater type orbitals. Geometry optimization by energy gradient minimization was carried out in all cases with a numerical grid accuracy comprised between 4.5 and 8, an energy gradient convergence criterion of 10−3 a.u. and a very tight SCF convergence criterion. Vibrational modes were computed to verify that the optimized geometries were related to energy minima not considering residual modes comprised between 0 and 50i cm−1. AIM44,66 NCI35,36 ETS-NOVC32,33 NBO46 (GENNBO v.6) and EDA47 analyses were carried out using the modules embedded within ADF2013. EDA analyses were performed at the PBE-D3 (BJ)/all electron QZ4P level on geometries optimized at the PBE-D3(BJ)/all electron TZP level. The CD response of 1c was computed using the SAOP37,38 potential combined with an all electron ZORA-devised TZ2P basis set for all elements. Representations of molecular structures and isosurfaces were produced with ADFview 2013.
Table 4 List of acquisition and refinement data for the structural X-ray diffraction analyses of compounds 1c–3c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1c</th>
<th>2c</th>
<th>3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>(C_{27}H_{25}CrNO_3Pd)</td>
<td>(C_{44}H_{40}Cr_2N_2O_6Pd_2)</td>
<td>(C_{26}H_{25}CrNO_3Pd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mol. wt</td>
<td>569.88</td>
<td>1009.58</td>
<td>699.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryst. syst.</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>(P2_1)</td>
<td>(P1)</td>
<td>(P2_1/c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T (K))</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a (\text{Å}))</td>
<td>10.346(1)</td>
<td>7.6062(4)</td>
<td>9.0689(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b (\text{Å}))</td>
<td>10.356(1)</td>
<td>9.3530(5)</td>
<td>20.282(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c (\text{Å}))</td>
<td>10.670(1)</td>
<td>17.0014(9)</td>
<td>14.2127(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha (^\circ))</td>
<td>90.978(1)</td>
<td>100.439(1)</td>
<td>105.006(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta (^\circ))</td>
<td>103.793(1)</td>
<td>103.793(1)</td>
<td>103.793(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma (^\circ))</td>
<td>90.978(1)</td>
<td>100.439(1)</td>
<td>105.006(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu (\text{mm}^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryst. size (mm)</td>
<td>0.26 (\times) 0.22 (\times) 0.06</td>
<td>0.20 (\times) 0.18 (\times) 0.15</td>
<td>0.20 (\times) 0.15 (\times) 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu_{\text{min}})</td>
<td>0.730, 0.927</td>
<td>0.659, 0.746</td>
<td>0.710, 0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu_{\text{max}})</td>
<td>0.958, 1.16</td>
<td>1.29, 1.30</td>
<td>1.60, 1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. measd rflns</td>
<td>8366</td>
<td>5580</td>
<td>5934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. indept rflns</td>
<td>5430</td>
<td>4240</td>
<td>4178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(wR(F^2))</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. params</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta_{\text{max}}, \Delta_{\text{min}} (\text{e Å}^{-3}))</td>
<td>0.44, –0.51</td>
<td>0.96, –0.49</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flack param.</td>
<td>0.02(3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synthesis of 1c.** 1a (0.200 g, 0.75 mmol) was dissolved in THF (5 mL) and treated with \(n\)-BuLi (0.52 mL, 0.83 mmol) at \(-40 \text{ °C}\) under argon. The resulting solution was transferred after 30 min via a cannula to another Schlenk vessel containing a THF (3 mL) solution of 1b (0.261 g, 0.38 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h and the temperature was slowly raised to \(-5 \text{ °C}\). At this temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was then washed with cold diethyl ether to remove soluble impurities. Extraction with dichloromethane was performed, followed by a washing with cold diethyl ether to remove soluble impurities. Chromatographic separation was performed using a dichloromethane/pentane mixture of solvents, which led to 1c as an orange solid (0.313 g, 73%). Calcd for \(C_{27}H_{25}CrNO_3Pd\): C, 56.90; H, 4.42; N, 2.46. Found: C, 56.77; H, 4.41; N, 2.29.

**Synthesis of 2a.** 

Isomer A–2a and B–2a the respective spectroscopic signatures of which were characterized a posteriori. Removal of the solvents under reduced pressure afforded an orange solid (1.57 g, 19.3 mmol, 15%). Calcd for \(C_{26}H_{18}CrO_5\): C, 58.88; H, 3.42. Found: C, 59.10; H, 3.42. HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na]\(^+\) calcd for \(C_{26}H_{18}CrO_5Na\), 552.9806; found, 552.9810. IR (cm\(^{-1}\)) \(\nu(CO)\): 1935 (s), 1865 (s), 1764 (s), 1704 (vs). Isomer A–2a was eluted as an orange-coloured solution containing isomers A and B, namely A–2a and B–2a.

**Synthesis of 3c.** 

A–3c and B–3c the respective spectroscopic signatures of which were characterized a posteriori. Removal of the solvents under reduced pressure afforded an orange solid (1.57 g, 19.3 mmol, 15%). Calcd for \(C_{26}H_{18}CrO_5\): C, 58.88; H, 3.42. Found: C, 59.10; H, 3.42. HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na]\(^+\) calcd for \(C_{26}H_{18}CrO_5Na\), 552.9806; found, 552.9810. IR (cm\(^{-1}\)) \(\nu(CO)\): 1940 (s), 1840 (vs). Isomer A–2a: \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO).

**Synthesis of 1c.** 

Orange solid (0.313 g, 73%). Calcd for \(C_{27}H_{25}CrNO_3Pd\): C, 56.90; H, 4.42; N, 2.46. Found: C, 56.77; H, 4.41; N, 2.29.

**Synthesis of 2a.** 

Orange solid (0.313 g, 73%). Calcd for \(C_{26}H_{18}CrO_5\): C, 58.88; H, 3.42. Found: C, 59.10; H, 3.42. HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na]\(^+\) calcd for \(C_{26}H_{18}CrO_5Na\), 552.9806; found, 552.9810. IR (cm\(^{-1}\)) \(\nu(CO)\): 1940 (s), 1840 (vs). Isomer A–2a: \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO).
C₆D₆, 293 K) δ 5.69 (bd, J = 26.2 Hz, 4H, H₂, H₃), 5.24 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, H₅), 4.91 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, H₆), 4.53–4.45 (m, 2H, H, H₁₀), 4.45–4.36 (m, 2H, H₆, H₁₈), 2.90 (dd, J = 23.0, 7.3 Hz, 1H, H₁₂a), 2.68 (d, J = 23.0 Hz, 1H, H₁₂b, H₁₈b), 2.52–2.38 (m, 2H, H₁₀), 2.37–2.27 (m, 2H, H₁₁). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, C₆D₆, 293 K) δ 234.3 (C₂₂, C₂₁, C₂₃, C₂₅, C₂₄, C₂₆), 141.2 (C₁₀, C₁₂), 130.8 (C₂₁, C₁₃), 114.9 (C₄, C₂₀), 114.5 (C₉, C₁₅), 91.4 (C₁₂, C₁₇), 90.0 (C₆, C₁₈), 89.8 (C₆, C₁₆), 87.3 (C₅, C₁₉), 37.9 (C₃, C₁₄), 25.1 (C₁₀, C₁₁). Isomer B-2a: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 293 K) δ 5.69 (bd, J = 26.2 Hz, 2H, H₂, H₃), 5.01 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, H₆, H₁₈), 4.53–4.45 (m, 2H, H, H₁₀), 2.38–2.30 (m, 2H, H₁₁). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, C₆D₆, 293 K) δ 234.3 (C₂₂, C₂₁, C₂₃, C₂₅, C₂₄, C₂₆), 141.5 (C₁₃, C₁₂), 130.4 (C₂₁, C₁₃), 115.2 (C₄, C₂₀), 114.0 (C₉, C₁₅), 90.9 (C₁₂, C₁₇), 90.3 (C₆, C₁₈), 90.1 (C₆, C₁₈), 86.7 (C₅, C₁₉), 37.9 (C₁, C₁₄), 24.9 (C₁₀, C₁₁) (cf. Fig. 10).

**Synthesis of 2c.** 2a (0.100 g, 0.19 mmol) was dissolved in THF (5 mL) and treated with n-BuLi (0.26 mL, 0.41 mmol) at −40 °C under argon. The resulting solution was transferred after 30 min via a cannula to another Schlenk vessel containing a THF (3 mL) solution of 2b (0.115 g, 0.21 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h while the temperature was slowly raised to ∼5 °C. At this temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was then washed with cold diethyl ether to remove soluble impurities. Finally extraction with dichloromethane was performed, followed by a filtration over Celite and recrystallization from a dichloromethane/pentane mixture of solvents, which produced 2c as an orange solution (0.033 g, 0.03 mmol, 17% yield). Anal. Calcd for C₄₄H₄₀Cr₂N₂O₆Pd₂·1/₅CH₂Cl₂: C, 51.71; H, 3.97; N, 2.73. Found: C, 51.73; H, 4.10; N, 2.66. HRMS-ESI (m/z) [M⁺] cálculated for C₄₄H₄₀Cr₂N₂O₆Pd₂·1/₅CH₂Cl₂: 867.43. The mixture was slowly raised to 40 °C. The resulting solution was evaporated to dryness and the resulting residue was adsorbed on silica gel. Chromatographic separation was performed on silica gel using a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and acetone (90:10 to 9:10) as the eluent. A band containing the product was eluted as an orange solution, which afforded trans₆-bistricarbonyl-[n₆₆₆₆(9,10-dihydroanthracene)]chromium(0) as an orange solid upon removal of the solvents under reduced pressure (3.05 g, 6.74 mmol, 61%). Analytical and spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature.¹⁵

**Synthesis of 3c.** A solution of trans₆-bistricarbonyl-[n₆₆₆₆(9,10-dihydroanthracene)]chromium(0) 3a (0.200 g, 0.44 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was treated with 1.1 equivalent of n-BuLi (0.30 mL, 0.49 mmol) at −40 °C. The resulting solution of anion was transferred after 30 min at −40 °C via a cannula to a THF solution (10 mL) containing 0.7 equivalent of 3b (0.128 g, 0.23 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h and the temperature was slowly raised to −20 °C. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure to produce a highly moist and air sensitive material that escaped full characterization due to massive decomposition upon repeated attempts of purification by the slow diffusion of the crude reaction mixture into dry n-heptane at −40 °C. However, in one attempt minute amounts of orange/red crystals of 3c were obtained.
Acknowledgements

D. Anstine was supported by a fellowship from the iREU program funded by NSF (CHE-1156907), administered by the University of Florida. This work was supported by the National Research Agency (ANR project WEAKINTERMET-2DA), the Laboratory of Excellence (LABEX) “Chemistry of Complex Systems”, the University of Strasbourg and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

Notes and references


